

Crown Copyright 2002 First Published 2002

Printed in the United Kingdom

Enquiries regarding this report should be directed to:

Head of Woodland Surveys Forest Research Forestry Commission 231 Corstorphine Road Edinburgh EH12 7AT

Telephone: 0131 314 6122 Email: woodland.surveys@forestry.gsi.gov.uk

۷

CONTENTS

Acknowledgements

Introductior	1	1
Background Survey met Main points Inventory R	nod from the survey results	1 1 2 2
Map 1: Map 2: Map 3: Map 4:	County boundaries Distribution of woodland over 2 hectares Distribution of woodland over 2 hectares by ownership Distribution of woodland over 2 hectares by Interpreted Forest Type	3 4 5 6
Summary re	esults from the National Inventory of Woodland and Trees (NIWT)	7
Tables 1 – 5		
Table 1: Table 2: Table 3: Table 4: Table 5:	Woodland area by woodland size class Woodland area by forest type and woodland size Woodland area by principal species and woodland size Numbers of live trees outside woodland by feature type Lengths of Linear Features	9 10 11 12 12
Results from	the Main Woodland Survey (MWS)	13
Tables 6 - 1	2	
Table 6: Chart: Table 7a: Table7b: Table 8: Chart: Table 9a: Graph: Table 9b: Graph:	Summary of woodland area by ownership Woodland area by ownership Size class distribution of woodland Size class distribution of woodland by ownership units Area of woodland by forest type and ownership Area of woodland by forest type Area of High Forest by principal species and ownership Area of High Forest by principal species and ownership Area of High Forest by principal species, ownership and category High Forest Category 1	15 15 16 16 17 17 18 19 20
Graph:	Area by principal species and ownership High Forest Category 2	21
Table 10a:	Area by principal species and ownership High Forest Category 1	21
Graph:	Area by principal species and planting year class High Forest Category 1 Area by planting year class	22 23

Table 10b:	High Forest Category 1	
	Forestry Commission: area by principal species and planting year class	24
Graph:	High Foresl Calegory 1	
	Forestry Commission - area by planting year class	25
Table 10c:	High Forest Category 1	
	Other ownership : area by principal species and planting year class	26
Graph:	High Forest Category 1	
	Other ownership: area by planting year class	27
Table 11:	High Forest: principal species by planting year class	28
Table 12:	Ownership type by area and percentage	29
Chart:	Ownership type by area	29

Results from the Survey of Small Woodland and Trees (SSWT)

Tables 13 – 18

Table 13:	Summary of information from the Survey of Small Woodland and Trees	33
Table 14:	Woodland area by feature type and woodland size	33
Table 15:	Numbers of live trees outside woodland by species and feature type	34
Table 16:	Numbers of dead trees outside woodland by species and feature type	35
Table 17:	Numbers of live trees outside woodland by species and height band	36
Table 18:	Numbers of Groups by group size	37

31

39

49

Comparison of results with the 1980 Census and previous surveys

Tables 19 - 23

Table 19: Table 20: Chart: Table 21:	Comparison of woodland area between 1980 Census and 1999 Inventory Comparison of High Forest area by species between 1980 Census and 1999 Inventory Comparison of High Forest area by species between 1980 Census and 1999 Inventory Comparison of High Forest Category 1 area by planting year class	41 42 43 44
	between 1980 Census and 1999 Inventory	
Chart:	Comparison of High Forest Category 1 area by planting year class	45
	between 1980 Census and 1999 Inventory	
Table 22:	Comparison of numbers of live trees outside woodland between 1980 Census and 1999 Inventory	46
Table 23:	Comparison of density of non-woodland features between 1980 Census and 1999 Inventory	46
Woodland c	over	
Chart:	Change in woodland cover through time (1890 – 2000)	47
Maps:	Woodland cover by county through time (1895 – 1998)	48

Glossary

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The Forestry Commission is grateful to many people who helped in the completion of this survey. In particular, the Forestry Commission would like to thank owners and occupiers of the land selected for sampling.

Woodland Surveys Branch of Forest Research was responsible for carrying out the survey and analysing the data. A large number of Forestry Commission and contract staff were involved in the survey from its inception.

Preparation of the digital cartography for Cleveland was carried out by Graham Bull, Woodland Survey Officer, and Woodland GIS Officers Chris Brown, Robert Beck and Esther Whitton. Data processing and analysis was carried out by Woodland Data Officers Justin Gilbert and Shona Cameron.

The authors of this Report are Steve Smith (Head of Woodland Surveys) and Justin Gilbert (Woodland Data Officer) of Forest Research.

INTRODUCTION

This report presents the results for Cleveland from the Forestry Commission National Inventory of Woodland and Trees (NIWT).

The Inventory consists of two separate surveys -

- The Main Woodland Survey (MWS) covering woodlands of 2 hectares and over
- The Survey of Small Woodland and Trees (SSWT) covering Small Woods, Groups of Trees, Linear Features and Individual Trees.

BACKGROUND

Since 1924 the Forestry Commission has carried out a number of national woodland surveys at intervals of between 15 and 20 years. The previous survey was carried out between 1979 and 1982. With the statistics becoming increasingly out of date the Forestry Commission decided to undertake a new survey: the National Inventory of Woodland and Trees.

The survey fieldwork for Great Britain was completed in July 2000. Work began in Scotland in 1994, followed by Southern England, Wales and Northern England.

SURVEY METHODS

Main Woodland Survey

In England, Woodland Surveys derived a digital map of all woodland showing Interpreted Forest Types from 1:25 000 scale aerial photography. This provided the basis for the sampling.

The digital map gives the extent of all woodland over 2 hectares and this was updated as survey work progressed. The maps on pages 4-6 show: overall woodland cover; woodland by ownership; and woodland by Interpreted Forest Type, respectively. The total area of woodland was obtained from the digital map with ground sampling undertaken to evaluate a wide range of woodland information such as species, age and stocking.

From the digital map the area of each woodland was recorded and this information was used to determine the intensity at which any selected woodland would be sampled. The overall sampling scheme was as follows:

- 2.0ha <100ha : every fifth wood
- 100ha <500ha : two woods in five
- 500ha and larger : all woods

1 hectare square plots were used to sample the selected woodlands on the ground. This was a change of practice from all previous Census surveys, where whole woods have been selected for survey. For each of the three bands of woodland area a different sampling grid was used with the density of the squares being reduced as the woodlands increase in size. The overall aim was to sample 1% of the woodland in each size class.

Survey of Small Woodland and Trees_

The land area of England was stratified into coastal and inland 1 km x 1 km squares and a random sample of 1 km² plots were then selected, representing around 1% of the land area. 1:25 000 scale aerial photos were then used to identify features in each sample square. Each 1 km² was then divided into 16 parts, and two of these were selected at random for field data collection. Data was collected on Small Woodlands (0.10 - <2.00 ha), Linear Features, Groups and Individual Trees. The survey did not collect information from areas of developed land of 2 hectares or more.

MAIN POINTS FROM THE SURVEY RESULTS

- The total area of woodland of 0.1 hectares and over in Cleveland is 3790 hectares. This represents 6.4% of the land area. (Table 1)
- Broadleaved woodland is the dominant forest type representing 54.6% of all woodland. Conifer woodland represents 26.7%, Mixed woodland 15.5% and Open Space within woodlands 3.3%. (Table 2)
- The main conifer species is pine covering 713 hectares or 52 % of all conifer species. The main broadleaved species is ash covering 609 hectares or 26.5 % of all broadleaved species. (Table 3)
- 481 hectares or 13 % of woodland over 2 hectares is owned by or leased to the Forestry Commission, and 3,265 hectares or 87 % of woodland is in Other ownership. (Table 6)
- There are a total of 171 woods over 2 ha within Cleveland with a mean wood area of 21.9 hectares. (Table 7a) There are a total of 29 woods from 0.1 <2.0 hectares with a mean wood area of 1.52 hectares. (Table 14)
- There are 8.6 thousand live trees outside woodland in Cleveland. (Table 15)
- Woodland land cover increased by over 429 hectares from 5.8 % to 6.4 % of the land area between 1980 and 1999. (Table 19)
- The area of broadleaves increased by 46% between 1980 and 1998, with the relative proportion of broadleaves to conifers increasing from 49 % to 63 %. (Table 20)

INVENTORY REPORTS

As well as this report for Cleveland, reports are available for the other counties in the region as shown on the map opposite. Also available are region and county reports for England as well as a report for the country as a whole. Wales and Scotland are also covered by reports.



Reference Date 31 March 1999





Based on Ordnance Survey mapping with the permission of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationary Office. @ Crown Copyright - Forestry Commission Licence No:GD272388





Based on Ordnance Survey mapping with the permission of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationary Office. © Crown Copyright - Forestry Commission Licence No:GD272388





Based on Ordnance Survey mapping with the permission of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationary Office. @ Crown Copyright - Forestry Commission Licence No:GD272388

SUMMARY RESULTS FROM THE NATIONAL INVENTORY OF WOODLAND AND TREES (NIWT)

Both the Main Woodland Survey and the Survey of Small Woodland and Trees contributed to the estimate of woodland area for Cleveland.

Tables 1-3 show the combined woodland area from the Main Woodland Survey and the Survey of Small Woodland and Trees.

Tables 4 and 5 summarise the numbers of live trees outside woodland, and the lengths of Linear Features from the Survey of Small Woodland and Trees.

- Table 1:Woodland area by woodland size class
- Table 2:Woodland area by forest type and woodland size
- Table 3: Woodland area by principal species and woodland size
- Table 4: Numbers of live trees outside woodland by feature type
- Table 5: Lengths of Linear Features
- Note: The figures in many of the tables may not add due to rounding



Table 1 Woodland area by woodland size class

Woodland size (ha)	Woodland area (ha)	% of Woodland area
2.00 and over	3,746	98.8
0.25 - < 2.00	44	1.2
0.10 - < 0.25	0	0.0
Total area of woodland	3,790	100.0
% Woodland land cover	6.4	

 Area of Cleveland, including inland water, 59,652 ha based on digital boundaries used in the 1991 Census of Population

Table 2 Woodland area by forest type and woodland size

Forest type	Woodland size (ha) 2.0 and over 0.1 - <2.0		Total area (ha)	Percentage of total area
Coniter	969	44	1,013	26.7
Broadleaved	2,068	0	2,068	54.6
Mixed	586	0	586	15.5
Coppiced	0	0	0	0.0
Copp-w-standards	0	0	0	0.0
Windblow	0	0	0	0.0
Felled	0	0	0	0.0
Open Space	124	0	124	3.3
Total	3,746	44	3,790	100

1. See Glossary for definitions of forest types.

Table 3 Woodland area by principal species and woodland size

Species/Groups	Woodland size (ha)		Total area	Percentage of total area	
	2.0 and over	0.1 -<2.0	(ha)	Category*	Species**
Pine	705	8	713	52.0	19.4
Sitka spruce	65	17	82	6.0	2.2
Larch	246	7	253	18.4	6.9
Other conifers	290	1	291	21.2	7.9
Mixed conifers	21	10	31	2.3	0.8
Total conifers	1,329	43	1,372	100.0	37.4
Oak	434	0	434	18.9	11.8
Beech	252	0	252	11.0	6.9
Sycamore	599	0	599	26.1	16.3
Ash	609	0	609	26.5	16.6
Birch	135	1	136	5.9	3.7
Elm	36	0	36	1.6	1.0
Other broadleaves	146	0	146	6.4	4.0
Mixed broadleaves	82	0	82	3.6	2.2
Total broadleaves	2,293	1	2,294	100.0	62.6
Total all species***	3,622	44	3,666		100.0

*Calegory - species/group percentage of conifer or broadleaved calegory **Species/group percentage of all species

***Excludes the 124ha of Coppice, Felled and Open space areas which were included in Table 2

1. The standard errors of the area estimates for woodland of 2 ha and over tor the most common species or species groups are as tollows

Conifers	16%
Broadleaves	10%
Pine	24%
Sitka spruce	26%
Ash	19%

2. Where the standard errors of these summary measures are 10% or less, the confidence intervals will be approximately symmetrical; the true value is expected to be within +/- one standard error for about 68% (or about two-thirds) of all cases, and within +/- two standard errors for about 95% of all cases. Where percentage standard errors are larger , e.g. for less common species or more variable species composition, the confidence intervals will be less symmetrical (and wider).

Table 4 Numbers of live trees outside woodland by feature type

Feature type	Total number of features	Total number of live trees	Mean number of trees per feature	Tree density (per sq km)
Groups	0	0	0	0
Narrow Linear Features	0	0	0	0
Individual Trees	8,600	8,600	1	14
Total		8,600		14

1. Land area used to calculate tree density 59,652 ha based on digital boundaries used in 1991 Census of Population

2. The standard errors of the live tree number estimates for these feature types are:

Groups	-	
Narrow Linear Features	-	
Individual Trees	56%	

- 3. Where the standard errors of these summary measures are 10% or less, the confidence intervals will be approximately symmetrical; the true value is expected to be within +/- one standard error for about 68% (or about two-thirds) of all cases, and within +/- two standard errors for about 95% of all cases. Where percentage standard errors are larger, e.g. for less common species or more variable species composition, the confidence intervals will be less symmetrical (and wider).
- 4. See Glossary for definitions of feature types .

Table 5 Lengths of Linear Features

Feature type	Total number of features	Total length of features (km)	Density of features (m per sq km)
Wide Linear Features	0	0	0
Narrow Linear Features	0	0	0
Total		0	0

1. In Cleveland the field data did not record any trees occurring in these feature types.

2. See glossary for definitions of feature types.

RESULTS FROM THE MAIN WOODLAND SURVEY (MWS)

Survey Method

Woods were selected from the digital map of woodland of 2 hectares and over, then sampled using a random grid of 1 hectare sample plots. The density of sample plots was reduced as the sampled woodland increase in size, the general aim being to sample 1% of the woodland area. The ground sampling evaluated a wide range of data such as species, age and stocking.

Table 6: Chart: Table 7a: Table 7b: Table 8: Chart: Table 9a: Graph: Table 9b: Graph:	Summary of woodland area by ownership Woodland area by ownership Size class distribution of woodland Size class distribution of woodland by ownership units Area of woodland by forest type and ownership Area of woodland by forest type Area of High Forest by principal species and ownership Area of High Forest by principal species and ownership Area of High Forest by principal species, ownership and category High Forest Category 1 Area by principal species and ownership
Graph:	High Forest Category 2 Area by principal species and ownership
Table 10a:	High Forest Category 1 Area by principal species and planting year class
Graph:	High Forest Category 1 Area by planting year class
Table 10b:	High Forest Category 1 Forestry Commission: area by principal species and planting year class
Graph:	High Forest Category 1
Table 10c:	Forestry Commission - area by planting year class High Forest Category 1 Other ownership: area by principal species and planting year class
Graph:	High Forest Category 1
Table 11: Table 12: Chart:	Other ownership: area by planting year class High Forest: principal species by planting year class Ownership type by area and percentage Ownership type by area

Note: The figures in many of the tables may not add due to rounding



Table 6 Summary of woodland area by ownership

Ownership	ha	% woodland
Forestry Commission	481	13
Other	3,265	87
Total area of woodland	3,746	100

1. Woodland area from aerial photographic interpretation map updated to 31 March 1999

2. See Glossary for definitions of ownership types

Woodland area by ownership



Size class (ha)	Number of woods	Total area (ha)	Percent of total area	Mean wood area (ha)
<10	126	567	15	4.5
10 - <20	17	237	6	13.9
20 - <50	18	538	14	29.9
50 - <100	4	311	8	77.8
<100	165	1,652	44	10.0
100 - <500	5	1,388	37	277.7
500 and >	1	705	19	705.1
All woods	171	3,746	100	21.9

Table 7a Size class distribution of woodland



Size class (ha)	FC or Other	Number of woods	Total area (ha)	Percent of total area	Mean wood area (ha)
<10	FC	1	4	13	3.8
	0	137	591	1948	4.3
10 - <20	FC	1	15	49	15.0
	0	18	251	827	13.9
20 - <50	FC	0	0	0	0.0
	0	18	546	1800	30.3
50 - <100	FC	0	0	0	0.0
	0	4	311	1025	77.8
<100	FC	2	19	63	9.4
	0	177	1,699	5601	9.6
100 - <500	FC	1	462	1523	462.5
	0	6	1,565	5159	260.9
500 and >	FC	0	0	0	0.0
	0	0	0	0	0.0
Total	FC	3	481	13	160.4
	0	183	3,265	87	17.8

1. Table 7a and 7b are based solely on the digital woodland map. The other MWS tables are derived from the field sample data

2. The total area in Lables /a and /b is 80 hectares more than recorded in Lable 6. This is mainly due to the field samples recording some land in other land uses not differentiated from woodland in the digital map

3. The data available from the digital map enable the identification of woodlands according to their ownerships, Forestry Commission or Other. The entries in table 7b cannot be added to derive table 7a as some woods may consist of both Forestry Commission and Other ownership(s)

For example, the Forestry Commission may own most of a large wood with some parts in Other ownership(s). In Table 7a the whole area would be treated as one wood and the area allocated to one size category. In Table 7b each of the ownership units would be allocated to the size category for that unit. Dividing woods by ownership can occasionally generate part woods of less than 2 hectares

Forest type	Forestry C	ommission	Otl	her	All owr	nerships
	ha	%	ha	%	ha	%
Conifer	171	35.6	798	24.4	969	25.9
Broadleaved	155	32.2	1,912	58.6	2,068	55.2
Mixed	155	32.2	431	13.2	586	15.6
Coppice	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Copp-w-Stds	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Windblow	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Felled	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Open Space	0	0.0	124	3.8	124	3.3
Total	481	100.0	3,265	100.0	3,746	100.0

 Table 8
 Area of woodland by forest type and ownership

Area of woodland by forest type



Table 9a	Area of High	Forest by p	orincipal	species and	l ownership
----------	--------------	-------------	-----------	-------------	-------------

Species	Forestry (Commiss	ion	o	other		All ow	vnerships	
	area	cat*	spp**	area	cat*	spp**	area	cat*	spp**
	(ha)	%	%	(ha)	%	%	(ha)	%	%
Scots pine	109	36	23	444	43	14	552	42	15
Corsican pine	0	0	0	78	8	2	78	6	2
Lodgepole pine	62	20	13	13	1	0	75	6	2
Sitka spruce	0	0	0	65	6	2	65	5	2
Norway spruce	93	31	19	123	12	4	216	16	6
European larch	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jap/Hybrid larch	16	5	3	231	23	7	246	19	7
Douglas fir	0	0	0	39	4	1	39	3	1
Olher conifers	23	8	5	12	1	0	36	3	1
Mixed conifers	0	0	0	21	2	1	21	2	1
Total conifers	303	100	63	1,026	100	33	1,329	100	37
Oak	0	0	0	434	21	14	434	19	12
Beech	23	13	5	229	11	7	252	11	7
Sycamore	124	70	26	475	22	15	599	26	17
Ash	16	9	3	594	28	19	609	27	17
Birch	16	9	3	120	6	4	135	6	4
Poplar	0	0	0	16	1	1	16	1	0
Sweet chestnut	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Elm	0	0	0	36	2	1	36	2	1
Other broadleaves	0	0	0	130	6	4	130	6	4
Mixed broadleaves	0	0	0	82	4	3	82	4	2
Total broadleaves	178	101	37	2,115	100	67	2,293	100	63
Total - all species	481		100	3,141		100	3,622		100
Felled	0			0			0		
Total High Forest	481			3,141			3,622		

*cal : species percentage of Conifer or Broadleaved in the ownership category **spp : percentage of all species in the ownership category

- 1. In addition to the areas shown there are 124ha of other areas integral to the woodland not stocked with tree species.
- 2. The standard errors of the all ownerships area estimates for the most common species or species groups are as follows;

Conifers	17%
Broadleaves	10%
Scots pine	27%
Sycamore	26%
Ash	19%

- Mixtures: where possible the species in mixtures have been separately recorded. Where this
 has not been possible they were described as 'Mixed conifers' or 'Mixed broadleaves'.
- 4. Confidence Intervals: where the standard errors of these summary measures are 10% or less, the confidence Intervals will be approximately symmetrical; the true value is expected to be within +/- one standard error for about 68% (or about two-thirds) of all cases, and within +/- two standard errors for about 95% of all cases. Where percentage standard errors are larger, e.g. for less common species or more variable species composition, the confidence intervals will be less symmetrical (and wider).

Area of High Forest by principal species and ownership



Species	Forest	ry Comm	ission		Other		All	ownershij	os
	cat. 1	cat. 2	Total (ha)	cat. 1	cat. 2	Total (ha)	cat. 1	cat. 2	Total (ha)
Scots pine	109	0	109	444	0	444	552	0	552
Corsican pine	0	0	0	78	0	78	78	0	78
Lodgepole pine	62	0	62	13	0	13	75	0	75
Sitka spruce	0	0	0	65	0	65	65	0	65
Norway spruce	93	0	93	123	0	123	216	0	216
European larch	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jap/Hybrid larch	16	0	16	231	0	231	246	0	246
Douglas fir	0	0	0	39	0	39	39	0	39
Other conifers	23	0	23	12	0	12	36	0	36
Mixed conifers	0	0	0	21	0	21	21	0	21
Total conifers	303	0	303	1,026	0	1,026	1,329	0	1,329
Oak	0	0	0	434	0	434	434	0	434
Beech	23	0	23	229	0	229	262	0	252
Sycamore	124	0	124	475	0	475	599	0	599
Ash	16	0	16	594	0	594	609	0	609
Birch	16	0	16	120	0	120	135	0	135
Poplar	0	0	0	16	0	16	16	0	16
Sweet chestnut	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Elm	0	0	0	3	32	36	3	32	36
Other broadleaves	0	0	0	117	12	130	117	12	130
Mixed broadleaves	0	0	0	82	0	82	82	0	82
Total broadleaves	178	0	178	2,070	45	2,115	2,248	45	2,293
Total - all species	481	0	481	3,096	45	3,141	3,577	45	3,622

Table 9b Area of High Forest by principal species, ownership and category

1. The standard errors of the all ownerships area estimates for the most common species or species groups (in all woodland types) are as follows

	Category 1* Cate	egory 2*	Total High	
			Forest	
Conifers	17%	-	17%	
Broadleaves	10%	58%	10%	
Scots pine	27%	-	27%	
Sitka spruce	26%	-	26%	*See Glossary for category 1
Ash	19%	-	19%	and Category 2 descriptions

- 2. Where the standard errors of these summary measures are 10% or less, the confidence intervals will be approximately symmetrical; the the true value is expected to be within +/- one standard error for about 68% (or about two-thirds) of all cases, and within +/- two standard errors for about 95% of all cases. Where percentage standard errors are larger, e.g. for less common species or more variable species composition, the confidence intervals will be less symmetrical (and wider).
- 3. Where possible the species in mixtures have been separately recorded. Where this has not been possible they were described as 'Mixed conifers' or 'Mixed broadleaves'.



High Forest Category 1 - Area by principal species and ownership

High Forest Category 2 - Area by principal species and ownership



Species					Plo	ınting y	ear cla	\$\$ [*]					Total (ha)
	1991- 1999	1981- 1990	1971- 1980	1961 - 1970	1951- 1960	1941 - 1950	1931- 1940	1921- 1930	1911 - 1920	1901- 1910	1861- 1900	Pre- 1861	
Scots pine	7	0	150	142	130	104	19	0	0	0	0	0	552
Corsican pine	42	υ	6	3	3	19	3	Ο	U	υ	υ	0	/8
Lodgepole pine	0	0	13	62	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	75
Sitka spruce	52	0	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	65
Norway spruce	0	0	162	0	55	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	216
European Iarch	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jap/Hybrid larch	16	0	3	146	46	32	3	0	0	0	0	0	246
Douglas fir	0	0	0	39	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	39
Other conifers	0	23	6	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	36
Mixed conifers	0	0	3	3	10	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	21
Total conifers	117	23	344	415	244	156	30	0	0	0	0	0	1,329
Oak	49	0	20	6	46	33	42	196	41	0	0	0	434
Beech	0	0	22	88	15	8	10	39	72	0	0	0	262
Sycamore	13	32	22	100	183	17	170	59	3	0	0	0	599
Ash	46	19	65	167	143	52	32	69	16	0	0	0	609
Birch	13	0	51	44	26	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	135
Poplar	0	6	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16
Sweet chestnut	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Elm	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
Other broadleaves	3	9	6	20	55	0	19	3	0	0	0	0	117
Mixed broadleaves	3	0	14	14	41	3	7	0	0	0	0	0	82
Total broadleaves	128	71	200	439	519	112	281	366	133	0	0	0	2,248
Total - all species	245	94	544	854	763	267	310	366	132	0	0	0	3,577

Table 10a High Forest Category 1 - Area by principal species and planting year class

*Age determined from records where these were available. Where records were not available or were clearly inaccurate age-class was assigned by reference to similar crops of known age in the locality.



High Forest Category 1 - Area by planting year class

1. Most of the planting year classes cover 10 years, 1991-1999 is 9 years, and the classes prior to 1901 are 40 years or more.

Species	Planting year class*										Total (ha)		
	1991- 1999	1981- 1990	1971- 1980	1961 - 1970	1951- 1960	1941 - 1950	1931- 1940	1921- 1930	1911 - 1920	1901- 1910	1861- 1900	Pre- 1861	
Scots pine	0	0	16	93	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	109
Corsican pine	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lodgepole pine	0	0	0	62	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	62
Sitka spruce	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Norway spruce	0	0	93	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	93
European larch	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jap/Hybrid larch	0	0	0	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16
Douglas fir	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other coniters	0	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	23
Mixed conifers	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total conifers	0	23	109	171	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	303
Oak	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Beech	0	0	16	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	23
Sycamore	0	0	0	0	124	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	124
Ash	0	0	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16
Birch	0	0	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16
Poplar	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sweet chestnut	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Elm	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other broadleaves	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mixed broadleaves	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	О	0
Total broadleaves	0	0	47	0	132	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	178
Total - all species	0	23	155	171	132	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	481

Table 10b High Forest Category 1 - Forestry Commission : area by principal species and planting year classes

*Age determined from records where these were available. Where records were not available or were clearly inaccurate age-class was assigned by reference to similar crops of known age in the locality.



High Forest Category 1 - Forestry Commission: area by planting year class

1. Most of the planting year classes cover 10 years, 1991-1999 is 9 years, and the classes prior to 1901 are 40 years or more.

Species		Planting year class*										Total (ha)	
	1991- 1999	1981- 1990	1971- 1980	1961 - 1970	1951- 1960	1941 - 1950	1931- 1940	1921- 1930	1911 - 1920	1901- 1910	1861- 1900	Pre- 1861	
Scots pine	7	0	134	49	130	104	19	0	0	0	0	0	444
Corsican pine	42	0	6	3	3	19	3	0	0	0	0	0	78
Lodgepole pine	0	0	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13
Sitka spruce	53	0	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	65
Norway spruce	0	0	68	0	55	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	123
European larch	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jap/Hybrid larch	16	0	3	131	46	32	3	0	0	0	0	0	231
Douglas fir	0	0	0	39	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	39
Other conifers	0	0	6	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12
Mixed conifers	0	0	3	3	10	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	21
Total conifers	117	0	235	245	46	156	30	0	0	0	0	0	1,026
Oak	49	0	20	6	46	33	42	196	41	0	0	0	434
Beech	0	0	6	88	7	8	10	39	72	0	0	0	229
Sycamore	13	32	22	100	59	17	170	59	3	0	0	0	475
Ash	46	19	49	167	143	52	32	69	16	0	0	0	594
Birch	13	0	36	44	26	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	120
Poplar	0	6	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16
Sweet chestnut	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Elm	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
Other broadleaves	3	9	6	20	55	0	19	3	0	0	0	0	117
Mixed broadleaves	3	0	14	14	41	3	7	0	0	0	0	0	82
Total broadleaves	128	71	153	439	387	112	281	366	133	0	0	0	2,070
Total - all species	245	71	388	684	631	267	310	366	133	0	0	0	3,096

Table 10c High Forest Category 1 - Other ownership: area by principal species and planting year classes

*Age determined from records where these were available. Where records were not available or were clearly inaccurate age-class was assigned by reference to similar crops of known age in the locality.



High Forest Category 1 - Other Ownership: area by planting year class

1. Most of the planting year classes cover 10 years, 1991-1999 is 9 years, and the classes prior to 1901 are 40 years or more.

Table 11 High Forest : principal species by planting year class	Table 11	High Forest:	principal	l species b	by planting	year class
---	----------	--------------	-----------	-------------	-------------	------------

Planting year class	First	%	Second	%	Third	%
1991-99	Sitka spruce	20	Oak	19	Ash	18
1981-90	Sycamore	34	Other conifers	24	Ash	20
1971-80	Norway spruce	30	Scots pine	28	Ash	12
1961-70	Ash	19	Jap/Hybrid larch	16	Scots pine	16
1951-60	Sycamore	24	Ash	19	Scots pine	17
1941-50	Scots pine	39	Ash	19	Oak	12
1931-40	Sycamore	55	Oak	14	Ash	10
1921-30	Oak	54	Ash	19	Sycamore	16
1911-20	Beech	54	Oak	31	Ash	12
1901-10	-		-		-	
1861-1900	-		-		-	
Pre 1861	-		-		-	
All years	Ash	17	Sycamore	16	Scots pine	15

1. Principal species as a percentage of area in the planting year class.

Table 12 Ownership type* by area and percentage

Ownership type	Area (ha)	%
Personal	787	21.0
Business	1,759	47.0
Forestry or timber business	339	9.0
Charity	77	2.0
Local Authority	303	8.0
Other public (not FC)	0	0.0
Forestry Commission	481	13.0
Community ownership or common land	0	0.0
Unidentified	0	0.0
Total	3,746	100.0

* This table is produced from data contributed on a voluntary basis by owners or their representatives.

Ownership type by area


RESULTS FROM THE SURVEY OF SMALL WOODLAND AND TREES (SSWT)

Survey Method

The land area of England was stratified into coastal and inland 1 km x 1 km squares and a random sample of 1 km² plots were then selected, representing around 1% of the land area. 1:25 000 scale aerial photos were then used to identify features in each sample square. Each 1 km² was then divided into 16 parts, and two of these were selected at random for field data collection. Data was collected on Small Woodlands (0.10 - <2.00 ha), Linear Features, Groups and Individual Trees. The survey did not collect information from areas of developed land of 2 hectares or more.

Table 13:Summary of information from the Survey of Small Woodland and TreesTable 14:Woodland area by feature type and woodland sizeTable 15:Numbers of live trees outside woodland by species and feature typeTable 16:Numbers of dead trees outside woodland by species and feature typeTable 17:Numbers of live trees outside woodland by species and feature typeTable 17:Numbers of live trees outside woodland by species and height bandTable 18:Numbers of Groups by group size

Note: The figures in many of the tables may not add due to rounding



Feature type	Number of features	Total	Unit
Small Woods	29	44	Area (ha)
Wide Linear Features	0	0	Area (ha)
Wide Linear Features	0	0	Length (Km)
Narrow Linear Features	0	0	Length (Km)
Narrow Linear Features	0	0	Number of live trees
Groups	0	0	Number of live trees
Individual Trees	8,600	8,600	Number of live trees

Table 13 Summary of information from the Survey of Small Woodlands and Trees

1. See Glossary for definitions of feature types.

Table 14 Woodland area by feature type and woodland size

Feature type	Woodland size (ha)		Total area	Number of	Mean size
	0.1 - <0.25	0.25 - <2.0	(ha)	features	(ha)
Small Woods	0	44	44	29	1.52
Wide Linear Features	0	0	0	0	0.00
Total	0	44	44	29	1.52

1. See Glossary for definitions of feature types.

Species		Feature	e type			Percent of	total trees
	Boundary Trees	Middle Trees	Groups	Narrow Linear Features	Total live trees	Category	Species
Pine	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Spruce	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Larch	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Cypress	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.9	100.0	22.1
Other conifers	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total conifers	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.9	100.0	22.1
Oak	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	14.9	11.6
Beech	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Sycamore	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Ash	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.9	28.4	22.1
Birch	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.9	28.4	22.1
Poplar	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Sweet chestnut	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Horse chestnut	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Alder	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Lime	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Elm	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Willow	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Other broadleaves	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.9	28.4	22.1
Total broadleaves	6.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.7	100.0	77.9
Total - all species	8.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.6		100.0

Table 15 Numbers of live trees outside woodland by species and feature type (000's trees)

1. Percentages

Category : species percentage of conifer or broadleaved. Species : percentage of all species.

2. The standard errors of the total tree number estimates for these feature types are:

Individual Trees	56%
Groups	-
Narrow Linear Features	-

3. See Glossary for definitions of feature types.

		Featur	e type			Percent	of total trees
Species	Boundary Trees	Middle Trees	Groups	Narrow Linear Features	Total dead trees	Category	Species
Pine	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Spruce	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Larch	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Cypress	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Other conifers	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total conifers	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Oak	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Beech	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Sycamore	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Ash	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Birch	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Poplar	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Sweet chestnut	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Horse chestnut	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Alder	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Lime	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Elm	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Willow	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Other broadleaves	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total broadleaves	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total - all species	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0

In Cleveland the field data did not record any trees occurring in these feature types

Species		Total live trees			
	2-5	5-15	15-20	>20	
Pine	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Spruce	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Larch	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Cypress	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.9
Other conifers	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total conifers	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.9
Oak	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	1.0
Beech	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Sycamore	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Ash	0.0	1.9	0.0	0.0	1.9
Birch	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.9
Poplar	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Sweet chestnut	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Horse chestnut	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Alder	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Lime	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Elm	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Willow	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Other broadleaves	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.9
Total broadleaves	3.8	2.9	0.0	0.0	6.7
Total - all species	5.7	2.9	0.0	0.0	8.6

Table 18 Number of Groups by group size

Number of trees per Group*	Number of Groups (000's)
2	0
3-5	0
6-10	0
11-20	0
21-50	0
51-100	0
>100	0
Total	0

*The size of the group is determined by the total number of trees, live plus dead.

COMPARISON OF RESULTS WITH THE 1980 CENSUS AND PREVIOUS SURVEYS

Survey Method

The 1980 Census and 1999 Inventory were undertaken using very different sampling methods.

Inventory practice and technology have moved on since the 1980 Census; this has led to changes in sampling methodology, scope and woodland definitions. For example, the Main Woodland Survey used the digital woodland map, created from aerial photos as a basis for sampling whereas the 1980 Census relied only on the woodland shown on the 1:50,000 Ordnance Survey map. Also in contrast to the 1980 Census, the Survey of Small Woodland and Trees did not record information within developed land e.g. residential or industrial areas of 2 or more hectares.

Where possible adjustments have been made to both the 1980 Census and the Inventory to achieve the nearest available comparison. The apparent changes indicated in the following tables and charts should therefore be treated with caution, particularly where areas are small.

Table 19:	Comparison of woodland area
	between 1980 Census and 1999 Inventory
Table 20:	Comparison of High Forest area by species
	between 1980 Census and 1999 Inventory
Chart:	Comparison of High Forest area by species
	between 1980 Census and 1999 Inventory
Table 21:	Comparison of High Forest Category 1 area by planting year class
	between 1980 Census and 1999 Inventory
Chart:	Comparison of High Forest Category 1 area by planting year class
	between 1980 Census and 1999 Inventory
Table 22:	Comparison of numbers of live trees outside woodland
	between 1980 Census and 1999 Inventory
Table 23:	Comparison of density of non-woodland features
	between 1980 Census and 1999 Inventory
Woodland c	cover
	between 1980 Census and 1999 Inventory Comparison of numbers of live trees outside woodland between 1980 Census and 1999 Inventory Comparison of density of non-woodland features between 1980 Census and 1999 Inventory

Chart	Change in woodland cover through time (1890 – 2000)
Maps:	Woodland by county through time (1895 – 1998)

Note: The figures in many of the tables may not add due to rounding



Woodland size (ha)	1980 Census woodland area		1999 In woodla	Change (%)	
	(ha)	(ha) (%) (ha		(%)	(%)
2.0 or more	3,097	92.1	3,746	98.8	21
0.25 - <2.0	264	7.9	44	1.2	-83
Total	3,361		3,790		13
% Woodland land cover	5.8		6.4		

Table 19 Comparison of woodland area between 1980 Census and 1999 Inventory

1. Differences in sampling methodology may account for some of the apparent differences.

 The above figures from the 1999 Inventory exclude woodland between 0.1 and <0.25 ha, thereby matching the scope of the 1980 Census. The 1999 figures above will therefore not match those in the previous sections of the report.

 Land area used to calculate woodland cover percent (1999), 59,652 ha, was based on the 1991 Census of Population digital boundaries.

 Land area used to calculate woodland cover percent (1980), 58,307ha, (Ordnance Survey data) Table 20Comparison of High Forest area by species between 1980 Censusand 1999 Inventory

Species	1980 Census woodland area (ha)	1999 Inventory woodland area (ha)	Change (%)
Scots pine	526	560	6
Corsican pine	86	78	-9
Lodgepole pine	129	75	-42
Sitka spruce	36	82	129
Norway spuce	127	216	71
European larch	183	0	-100
Jap/Hybrid larch	291	253	-13
Douglas fir	28	39	39
Other conifers	94	36	-62
Mixed conifers	147	31	-79
Total conifers	1,646	1,370	-17
Oak	233	434	86
Beech	131	252	93
Sycamore	373	599	60
Ash	100	609	511
Birch	232	136	-11
Poplar	31	16	-48
Sweet chestnut	0	0	-
Elm	52	36	-31
Other broadleaves	154	130	-16
Mixed broadleaves	271	82	-70
Total broadleaves	1,576	2,294	46
Total all species	3,222	3,664	14
Felled	0	0	0
Total High Forest	3,222	3,664	14

1. Ditterences in sampling methodology may account tor some of the apparent differences.

2. In the 1980 Census the areas assigned to species included any associated open space such as roads and rides. In the Inventory open spaces are separately identified and the overall proportion is 4.2% (Table 2). To obtain meaningful comparisons between the two datasets the 1980 Census data have therefore been reduced by 4.2%.

 The above figures from the 1999 Inventory exclude woodland between 0.1 and <0.25 ha, thoreby matching the scope of the 1980 Census.
 The 1999 figures above will therefore not match those in the previous sections of the report.

4. The 1980 figures include scrub to enable comparison



Comparison of High Forest area by species between 1980 Census and 1999 Inventory

Table 21Comparison of High Forest Category 1 area by planting year classbetween 1980 Census and 1999 Inventory

Planting year class	1980 Census woodland area (ha)	1999 Inventory woodland area (ha)	Change (%)
1991-1999	0	245	see note
1981-1990	0	111	see note
1971-1980	248	544	120
1961-1970	622	855	38
1951-1960	717	788	10
1941-1950	306	268	-12
1931-1940	120	311	159
1921-1930	375	366	-2
1911-1920	105	133	26
1901-1910	105	0	-100
1861-1900	425	0	-100
Pre 1861	43	0	-100
Total all years	3,065	3,621	18

1. The tirst two classes, 1991-1999 and 1981-1990, cover the period since the 1980 Census and no comparison is therefore available.

2. The definition of High Forest Category 1 in the Inventory does not fully coincide with High Forest as defined in the 1980 Census.



Comparison of High Forest Category 1 area by planting year class between 1980 Census and 1999 Inventory

Table 22Comparison of numbers of live trees outside woodlandbetween 1980 Census and 1999 Inventory(000's)

Tables 22 and 23 have been excluded from this report. The Survey of Small Woodland and trees does not record information referring to tree features (I.e. Individual trees, Groups and Narrow Linear Features) within developed land. In this respect the survey differs markedly from the 1980 Census. Cleveland included a substantial proportion of developed land making comparison inappropriate.

Table 23Comparison of density of non-woodland features between 1980Census and 1999 Inventory

Tables 22 and 23 have been excluded from this report. The Survey of Small Woodland and trees does not record information referring to tree features (i.e. Individual trees, Groups and Narrow Linear Features) within developed land. In this respect the survey differs markedly from the 1980 Census. Cleveland included a substantial proportion of developed land making comparison inappropriate.

WOODLAND COVER

Woodland area data is available from Ministry of Agriculture surveys since 1871, and from Forestry Commission national woodland inventories since 1924. The following chart and maps show the changes in woodland area through time.

The maps use the old County structure data of England, as reported on in 1895 and 1947. The data from these counties could not be re-worked for different geographic areas. In contrast, the digital woodland map, which forms the basis of the current inventory, can be analysed for any geographic area.



Change in county woodland cover through time (1890 – 2000)

1. Following local government reorganisation the boundaries of the county of the report have changed significantly since 1890 and therefore data from a wider geographic area have been used.

Map 5 Woodland Cover in England by County through time (1895–1998)







GLOSSARY

Woodland

In the United Kingdom woodland is defined as land with a minimum area of 0.1 ha under slands of Irees wilh, or the potential to achieve, Iree crown cover of more than 20%. Areas of open space integral to the woodland are also included. Orchards and urban woodland between 0.1 and 2 ha are excluded. Intervening land-classes such as roads, rivers or pipelines are disregarded if less than 50m in extent. 'Scrubby' vegetation is not Included as a separate category but as Conifer, Broadleaved or Mixed tree types. There is additional information on the quality of woodland within the inventory database.

Woodland of 2 ha and over, and with a minimum width of 50m, is included in the Main Woodland Survey; other woodland and trees are assessed in the Survey of Small Woodland and Trees.

Interpreted Forest Types

The woodland map derived from aerial photographs is differentiated into Interpreted Forest Types (IFTs) which are: Conifer, Broadleaved, Mixed, Coppice, Coppice-with-Standards, Shrubs, Young Trees, Ground Prepared for Planting and Felled. Note that forest types (see below) based on ground survey data are used for reporting purposes because they are more reliable.

High Forest

All woodland except stands managed as Coppice or Coppice-with-Standards with, or with the potential to achieve a tree cover of more than 20%. Two categories of High Forest are recognised:

• High Forest Category 1 Stands which are, or could become, capable of producing wood of a size and quality suitable for sawlogs.

• **High Forest Category 2** Stands of lower quality than High Forest Category 1.

Mixtures

Where possible the species in mixtures have been separately recorded. Where this has not been possible they were described as 'Mixed conifers' or 'Mixed broadleaves'.

Forest Types

Conifer

Woodland containing more than 80% by area of coniferous species.

Broadleaved

Woodland containing more than 80% by area of broadleaved species.

• Mixed

A combination of broadleaved and coniferous species where each category occupies at least 20% of the canopy (see note on mixtures above.)

Coppice

Crops of marketable broadleaved species that have at least 2 stems per stool and are either being worked or are capable of being worked on rotation. With the exception of hazel coppice more than half the stems should be capable of producing 1m timber lengths of good form.

Coppice with Standards

Two-storey stands where the overstorey consists of at least 25 stems per ha that are older than the understorey of worked coppice by at least one coppice rotation.

Felled

Woodland areas that have been felled or stands where the stocking has been reduced to less than 20% and where it is expected that these areas will be replanted.

Windblow

Areas of blown woodland which remain uncleared and not regenerated.

Open Space

Areas within a woodland that are not covered by trees but are integral to the woodland such as open areas, streamsides, deer glades, rides and forest roads.

Ownership types

Other Ownership

Woodland other than that owned by, or leased to, the Forestry Commission

- Personal

types of private occupation, e.g. individuals, private family trusts and family partnerships.

- Private forestry or timber business

owned by wood processing industry. This category does not include forest management companies.

- Other private business

occupiers, e.g. companies, partnerships, syndicates and pension funds.

- Local Authority

Region, Counly, District or other Council

- Other public bodies (not FC)

Government department/agency, nationalised industry, etc.

- Charitable organisations

organisations funded by voluntary public subscription, e.g. National Trust, churches and colleges.

- Community ownership or common land

the common property of all members of the community.

Forestry Commission

Land owned by or land leased to the Forestry Commission

Feature types

Small Wood

A woodland with an area of 0.1 ha or over but less than 2 ha.

Group

A group containing two or more trees with an area less than 0.1ha.

• Individual Tree

A tree the crown of which has no contact with any other tree crown and which is at least 2m tall. Two types of individual tree are recognised:

- Boundary Tree (an Individual Tree on any boundary)
- Middle Tree (an Individual Tree not on a boundary)

Linear Feature

A feature with a length of 25 m or more, and one which is at least four times as long as it is broad. It can be up to 50m wide or as narrow as a single line of trees. Two types of Linear Features are recognised:

- Narrow Linear Features (with a width of 16 m or less)
- Wide Linear Features (with a width greater than 16 m)

NOTES



8



www.forestry.gov.uk