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## Summary

The National Forest Inventory provides a record of the size and distribution of forests and woodlands in Great Britain and information on key forest attributes. This report provides the Forestry Commission's first estimates since 2002 of the amount of tree cover outside NFI woodland areas ${ }^{1}$ in the form of small woods, groups of trees and lone trees. In addition, it provides estimates of sub-categories of these broad tree feature classes and estimates of hedgerow areas and lengths. It includes estimates for England, Scotland and Wales, broken down by both NFI Regions and urban and rural areas.

The report identifies that Britain has an estimated 742 thousand hectares of tree cover outside woodland, composed of small woods of over 0.1 hectare in size, groups of trees and lone trees. NFI woodland occupies just over 3 million hectares or $13.4 \%$ of Britain's land area. If the additional 742 thousand hectares of tree cover outside woodland identified in this report is added to that woodland area, the total equates to circa 3.8 million hectares or $16.7 \%$ of Britain being under woodland area or tree cover outside woodland.

This is substantially more tree cover outside woodland than has previously been reported. This observation will in part be due to the tree planting schemes of the last few decades, but in the main represents assessing tree cover that was previously present, but not identified. Reporting the full extent of tree canopy outside woodland has been possible through the application of improved techniques and technologies in earth observation, Geographic Information Systems (GIS) and statistics.

Definitions of the terms used in this report can be found in the Definitions and terminology section and in the Glossary in the Appendix.

A companion Summary Report is also available at www.forestry.gov.uk/inventory.
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## Key findings

- There are 742 thousand hectares of tree cover outside areas NFI woodland in Britain as at January 2016²; 565 thousand hectares in England, 84 thousand hectares in Scotland and 93 thousand hectares in Wales.
- Rural ${ }^{3}$ tree cover outside woodland accounts for 546 thousand hectares of tree cover outside woodland in total, some ( $74 \%$ ) and 196 thousand hectares ( $26 \%$ ) are within urban areas. Non-woodland tree cover amounts to $11 \%$ of land area in urban areas and 3\% in rural areas.
- Total tree cover, including both woodland tree cover and tree cover outside woodland, is $16.5 \%$ in urban areas and $16.7 \%$ in rural areas.
- Small woods of over 0.1 hectare in extent account for 390 thousand hectares in Britain; 295 thousand hectares in England, 46 thousand hectares in Scotland and 49 thousand hectares in Wales.
- Groups of trees less than 0.1 hectare in extent account for 255 thousand hectares of Britain; 193 thousand hectares in England, 29 thousand hectares in Scotland and 33 thousand hectares in Wales.
- There is estimated to be a total canopy cover of 97 thousand hectares associated with lone trees ${ }^{4}$ in Britain. By country there are 78 thousand hectares in England, 9 thousand hectares in Scotland and 10 thousand hectares in Wales. This represents 30.1 million lone trees in Britain; 22.2 million in England, 6.4 million in Scotland and 1.6 million in Wales.
- Of the 390 thousand hectares of small woods, 164 thousand hectares or $42 \%$ are in the form of linear features, such as those lining roads and rivers.
- The majority of small woods, groups and lone trees are found in the lowland areas of Britain, at an altitude of less than 200 m , with $91 \%$ of features found below this height.
- There are 452 thousand kilometres (281 thousand miles) of hedgerows in Britain; 336 thousand kilometres (209 thousand miles) in England, 41 thousand kilometres ( 25 thousand miles) in Scotland and 76 thousand kilometres ( 47 thousand miles) in Wales ${ }^{5}$.
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 Statistical ReportTable 1 Areas of woodland and tree cover outside woodland as a \% of total land area

| Region | Total land area | NFI woodland |  | Tree cover outside woodland |  |  | Total area of woodland and tree cover |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (000 ha) | (000 ha) | \% of land area | (000 ha) | \% of land area | SE\% | \% of land area |
| Great Britain | 22,895.4 | 3,074.6 | 13.4 | 742.3 | 3.2 | 5 | 16.7 |
| England | 13,030.8 | 1,336.4 | 10.3 | 565.0 | 4.3 | 5 | 14.6 |
| North West England | 1,410.5 | 120.0 | 8.5 | 51.4 | 3.6 | 6 | 12.2 |
| North East England | 857.4 | 117.0 | 13.6 | 21.4 | 2.5 | 9 | 16.1 |
| Yorkshire and the Humber | 1,540.5 | 117.2 | 7.6 | 43.9 | 2.8 | 8 | 10.5 |
| East Midlands | 1,562.3 | 100.9 | 6.5 | 57.0 | 3.6 | 6 | 10.1 |
| East England | 1,911.9 | 156.4 | 8.2 | 80.4 | 4.2 | 6 | 12.4 |
| South East and London | 2,064.5 | 332.9 | 16.1 | 123.8 | 6.0 | 6 | 22.1 |
| South West England | 2,383.7 | 265.7 | 11.1 | 113.0 | 4.7 | 7 | 15.9 |
| West Midlands | 1,299.8 | 126.2 | 9.7 | 74.2 | 5.7 | 8 | 15.4 |
| Scotland | 7,791.0 | 1,429.0 | 18.3 | 84.5 | 1.1 | 13 | 19.4 |
| North Scotland | 1,744.4 | 237.4 | 13.6 | 10.8 | 0.6 | 42 | 14.2 |
| North East Scotland | 1,142.0 | 236.9 | 20.7 | 13.0 | 1.1 | 23 | 21.9 |
| East Scotland | 866.8 | 140.2 | 16.2 | 19.6 | 2.3 | 28 | 18.4 |
| South Scotland | 1,997.4 | 435.2 | 21.8 | 34.3 | 1.7 | 14 | 23.5 |
| West Scotland | 2,040.5 | 379.3 | 18.6 | 6.9 | 0.3 | 28 | 18.9 |
| Wales | 2,073.6 | 309.3 | 14.9 | 92.7 | 4.5 | 7 | 19.4 |

Figure 1 Areas of woodlands and tree cover outside woodland as a percentage of land area
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Table 2 Summary area of woodlands and categories of tree cover outside woodland

| Region | Total NFI woodland | Small woods |  | Groups of trees |  | Lone trees |  | Total area of woodland and tree cover |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (000 ha) | (000 ha) | SE\% | (000 ha) | SE\% | (000 ha) | SE\% | (000 ha) | SE\% |
| Great Britain | 3,074.6 | 390.2 | 7 | 255.4 | 6 | 97.1 | 6 | 3,817.4 | 1 |
| England | 1,336.4 | 294.8 | 6 | 192.6 | 6 | 78.2 | 7 | 1,901.9 | 1 |
| North West England | 120.0 | 24.2 | 8 | 18.5 | 8 | 8.9 | 12 | 171.7 | 2 |
| North East England | 117.0 | 12.1 | 13 | 6.6 | 10 | 2.7 | 15 | 138.5 | 1 |
| Yorkshire and the Humber | 117.2 | 22.8 | 12 | 12.7 | 10 | 8.4 | 10 | 161.2 | 2 |
| East Midlands | 100.9 | 25.8 | 10 | 23.8 | 8 | 7.8 | 11 | 158.3 | 2 |
| East England | 156.4 | 39.3 | 8 | 31.5 | 8 | 9.7 | 11 | 236.9 | 2 |
| South East and London | 332.9 | 65.3 | 9 | 43.5 | 8 | 14.9 | 13 | 456.6 | 2 |
| South West England | 265.7 | 64.7 | 11 | 34.7 | 8 | 13.3 | 10 | 378.5 | 2 |
| West Midlands | 126.2 | 40.7 | 12 | 21.1 | 9 | 12.4 | 11 | 200.3 | 3 |
| Scotland | 1,429.0 | 46.2 | 21 | 29.5 | 12 | 8.9 | 15 | 1,513.5 | 1 |
| North Scotland | 237.4 | 6.4 | 63 | 3.1 | 39 | 1.3 | 58 | 248.2 | 2 |
| North East Scotland | 236.9 | 6.8 | 34 | 5.2 | 28 | 1.0 | 34 | 249.9 | 1 |
| East Scotland | 140.2 | 10.4 | 45 | 6.7 | 27 | 2.5 | 32 | 159.8 | 3 |
| South Scotland | 435.2 | 18.9 | 21 | 11.9 | 15 | 3.5 | 16 | 469.4 | 1 |
| West Scotland | 379.3 | 3.8 | 38 | 2.7 | 39 | 0.5 | 36 | 386.2 | 0 |
| Wales | 309.3 | 49.2 | 8 | 33.4 | 9 | 10.1 | 17 | 401.9 | 1 |
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Figure 2 Total percentage of Iand covered by woodland and tree cover outside woodland
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## I ntroduction

National forest inventories are carried out by the Forestry Commission to provide accurate, up-to-date information about the size, distribution, composition and condition of the forests and woodlands in Great Britain (GB). In tandem with the inventories of woodlands, the Forestry Commission has historically undertaken 'Small Woods' assessments to provide information on the amount and composition of tree cover outside woodlands, such as those associated with smaller woods, groups of trees and lone trees.

The current National Forest Inventory (NFI), which began in 2010 with the first cycle completed, and the second cycle started in 2015, involved the production of a forest and woodland map for GB and a continuing programme of field surveys of the mapped forest and woodland areas. Data collected by the National Forest Inventory is used for a number of purposes, including the reporting of current estimates and long-term forecasts of forest metrics such as:

- Standing volume and timber availability;
- Tree growth and increment;
- Carbon storage and biomass; and
- Biodiversity and social value of forests and woodlands.

This Inventory Report sets out the results (as at January 2016) for the current 'Small Woods' assessment and concerns the areas of tree cover outside woodland, broken down into areas of small woods, groups of trees and lone trees (see Figure 3). In addition, and as a byproduct of the main work, the length and area of hedgerows in Britain are also provided.

The current assessment of 'Small Woods' began in 2012 and is based on a non-woodland tree map derived from the National Tree Map ( $\mathrm{NTM}^{\top \mathrm{M}}$ ) produced by Bluesky International Limited for England and Wales and a programme of field surveys and hand-mapping of non-woodland tree cover for the purposes of calibration of the map. The tree map covers most trees over 3 metres in height and was derived from national DTM, DSM, Aerial Photography and Colour Infrared data owned by Bluesky and some free mapping data. In addition to calibration and correction of the map, the field work, which was undertaken at a series of one-by-one kilometre squares across Britain, provides an assessment of trees under 3 metres. In Scotland no map product containing non-woodland trees was available and the estimates in this report are based solely on field work and hand-mapping of features at a series of one-byone kilometre sample squares. The survey did not assess the composition of the nonwoodland trees (tree species, age and tree health). Further information on this report and other National Forest Inventory outputs is available from www.forestry.gov.uk/inventory.

## Background

Since 1924 Britain has monitored its woodlands and trees through a series of inventories, held at roughly 20 -year intervals. These inventories have provided information on areas defined as woodland and also 'Small Woods' or trees outside woodland (e.g. those in small copses, lone trees, hedges etc.). Small woods have been defined in relation to the definition used as the threshold for larger woodland. This threshold has ranged from 1 acre to 2 hectares in past surveys and is currently set at 0.5 hectare, which is the minimum size of woodland under most international definitions of woodland ${ }^{6}$. The surveys reported not only the extent of these features, but also their tree species, composition and health, as well as basic mensuration data. Some surveys also assessed hedgerow extent.

The current National Forest Inventory (NFI) reports woodland areas in excess of 0.5 hectare, while trees outside woodland have been assessed in a separate exercise that is the subject of this report and is complementary to the mapping and surveying of NFI woodland.

## History of Small Woods surveys

The history of modern censuses or surveys of woodlands and small woods started with the 1924 Census of Woodlands which used a minimum area of 2 acres (around 0.9 hectares) to define woodland. A similar survey was carried out in 1930 to update the 1924 figures but was less detailed. After the Second World War, work started on a new Census of Woodlands (i.e. the survey was not based on sampling) with a minimum woodland area of 5 acres (around 2 hectares). It was realised that further work would be required to complete the assessment of all trees and a further sample-based survey was undertaken to assess small woods.

This further survey entitled 'Hedgerow and Park Timber and Woods less than Five Acres 1951' was based on the selection of every $100^{\text {th }}$ map sheet at a scale of six inches to one mile ( $1: 10,560$ ). All woods on the map and any others subsequently found on ground inspection were assessed.

The results of the 1951 survey, when compared with the 1947 Census, showed that $21 \%$ of the nation's timber volume was to be found in hedgerow and park trees and a further $6 \%$ in woods of between one and five acres. This survey was considered to be very accurate and its findings are considered a reliable benchmark with which to compare other surveys.

A limited sample survey was carried out as part of the 1965 Census, to provide accurate timber production forecasts at a regional level. The information reported was utilised in the planning and development of the growing timber industry. For this survey the minimum

[^2]allowable woodland size was set at one acre ( 0.4 hectare). A small woods and hedgerow survey was carried out south of the Mersey-Humber line contemporaneously with the main survey, using a similar method to the 1951 survey.

The next major survey was carried out between 1979 and 1982 and the results were published in 1987 as the Census of Woodlands and Trees 1979-82. The minimum area of woodland selected, at 0.25 hectare, was very much smaller than it had been in 1947 and therefore included within the main woodland survey the bulk of many areas that would have been termed small woods. The sample design for the survey of non-woodland trees was based on the assessment of strips in two stages, beginning with an assessment of the strip in smaller squares from aerial photography followed by a subsequent ground visit to two of the small squares. The size and numbers of the squares varied during the course of the survey but the basic strategy remained the same.

The results of the survey of non-woodland trees (derived from their component features of boundary trees, open-grown trees, clumps, linear features and individual coppices) showed that non-woodland trees contributed approximately $12 \%$ of the total timber volume in Britain. It should be noted that comparison with the 1951 survey cannot be made directly due to the change in the minimum woodland area.

The most recent survey, the National Inventory of Woodlands and Trees (NIWT), was run in the 1990s and published in 2002. This main survey set a minimum woodland area threshold of 2 hectares and created a woodland map based upon aerial photography to identify these. A field survey was conducted across 34,000 one-hectare sample squares within this woodland. A complementary survey, the Survey of Small Woodland and Trees (SSWT) or 'Small Woods' survey covered trees in small woods, linear features, groups and lone trees. This comprised a desk-based analysis of 2,392 one-by-one kilometre sample squares across Britain, supported by field sampling 4,784 250 metres by 250 metres squares, to characterize the tree and small woods resource. This survey concluded that circa $1 \%$ to $2 \%$ of tree canopy by area was outside woodland, although urban areas and narrow linear features were excluded. The analysis also identified around 120,974 kilometres of narrow linear wooded features, but did not allocate an area to these. Results were published in 2002; the report is available at: www.forestry.gov.uk/inventory.
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## Definitions and terminology

Figure 3 An example of woodland area and tree cover outside woodland


From a single tree in a field to an extensive forest, a continuum of different shapes and sizes of tree cover exist in the British landscape, some of which are defined as woodland while other features are regarded as non-woodland. This report has defined the elements of this continuum in the categories below. Figure 4 overleaf illustrates these categories:

- NFI woodland - woods over 0.5 hectare in extent and a minimum of 20 m wide;
- Small wood - wooded features exceeding 0.1 hectare and less than 0.5 hectare in extent or less than 20 m in width that do not qualify as NFI woodland, further categorised as
o linear and
o non-linear;
- Groups of trees - clusters of trees less than 0.1 hectare, further categorised as
o linear,
o non-linear and
o groups of trees within hedgerows;
- Lone trees, further categorised as
o hedgerow trees,
o other boundary trees and
o trees in open land.

Figure 4 Illustrative map showing woodland and categories of trees outside woodland

Example of NFI and small woodland categories

(C) Crown copyright and database right 2016 Ordnance Survey 100021242

O.S. MasterMap ©


Figures 5, 6 and 7 demonstrate the distinction between woodland and features of tree cover outside woodland and how they are identified within the landscape.

Figure 5 NFI woodland features identified in the landscape


Figure 6 Tree cover outside woodland identified in the landscape


Figure 7 Tree cover outside woodland stratified into constituent categories


The definitions of non-woodland tree features used in this report are illustrated with photographs in Figures 8 to 16 and defined in detail in Table 3.
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## NFI woodland

NFI woodland is defined as areas of tree cover over 0.5 hectare in extent that have $20 \%$ or greater tree cover, or the potential to achieve it, and that are over 20 metres in width. This definition aligns with most aspects of the international definitions of woodland. The Forestry Commission publishes estimates of NFI woodland area annually in Forestry Statistics. Further information on these statistics is available at www.forestry.gov.uk/statistics. Figure 8 illustrates a typical NFI woodland.

Figure 8 An example of NFI woodland over 0.5 hectare in extent


## New NFI woodland and extra NFI woodland

The areas of NFI woodland identified on the 2013 NFI woodland map are based principally on visual interpretation of aerial photography of older vintage (although annual updates of the map are informed by more contemporary satellite imagery and administrative information). In contrast, the estimates provided in this report are based on more recent aerial photography work, plus the field survey work conducted in 2015 and 2016. The recent aerial photography work supplied updates to the NFI map and these in combination with the fieldwork produced the estimates in this report. In the course of these field survey assessments, judgements have been made on the ages of the areas of NFI woodland found outside the 2013 NFI map to ascertain whether these areas are likely to have been established after the photography on which the NFI map is based. Areas of young trees that have been newly established since the photography used to construct the NFI map are classified in this report as 'New NFI woodland' while areas of older stands that were not identified in the 2013 NFI mapping exercise are referred to as 'Extra NFI woodland'.

A second classification of areas of NFI woodland identified outside the NFI map by the survey was also made, both are reported together as 'un-mapped' NFI area:
a) Areas of NFI woodland that are spatially separate and have no common boundary with woodland areas identified on the NFI map. Such areas are referred to as 'Additional NFI woodland';
b) Areas adjacent to and possessing a common boundary with woodland areas identified in the NFI map and therefore representing an expansion of existing areas of the map. Such areas are referred to as 'Expanded NFI woodland'.

Such areas that have been identified through comparison of the NFI map with the NTM ${ }^{\top M}$ map of tree cover have been incorporated into the 2015 version of the NFI woodland map improving the maps accuracy through increasing its area by circa $0.33 \%$. These findings are reflected in the woodland area statistics published in Forestry Statistics 2016, although Forestry Statistics does not yet reflect the additional woodland identified by the sampling.

## Small woods

If an area of tree cover is greater than 0.1 hectare in extent and is less than 0.5 hectare in size or less than 20 metres in width, it is classified as a small wood.

Small woods features come in a range of shapes and sizes, and are a common feature in the landscape of Britain. Over the years people have coined a range of terms to describe them, with a range of definitions. Names used to refer to such features include 'copse', 'spinney', 'dell' and many others. The meanings of most of these terms overlap and may or may not be linked to geographic features (such as 'dell') and have no precise definition. Thus, for the purposes of this report, and with the benefit of modern geographic sensing and measurement tools, two types of small woods are defined; linear small woods and non-linear small woods.

Areas of trees of greater than 0.1 hectare in extent with a mean width of less than 20 metres and an average length to width ratio of at least 4:1 are categorised as linear small woods. The distinction between linear and non-linear features has always formed part of Forestry Commission classifications of small woods, reflecting the high proportion of small woods that can be classed as linear features. These occur within Britain due to the high association of trees with land ownership boundaries, watercourses and transport routes.

Small woods that are greater than 20 metres in width form non-linear small woods, such as the example in Figure 9.

Figure 9 An example of a non-linear small wood


Figure 10 illustrates a linear small wood. Linear small woods form a large component of trees outside woodland and are found alongside watercourses, field boundaries, roads and railways.

Figure 10 An example of a linear small wood
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## Groups of trees

The smaller an area of tree cover is, the less it exhibits the properties of woodlands. This principle is reflected in the definitions used in this report and when the area of tree cover is less than 0.1 hectare the feature is classified as a 'group of trees'. In such areas, due to the small size, the understorey, ground cover and climate of the area are generally dominated by the adjacent landuse. Figure 11 illustrates a small group of trees whose undertstory reflects few woodland properties, taking on the character of the surrounding land use.

Figure 11 An example of a group of trees


Figure 12 illustrates a linear group of trees. These are defined as areas of two or more trees of up to 0.1 hectare in extent with a mean width of between 4 metres and 20 metres.

Figure 12 An example of a linear group of trees
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The third sub-category of groups of trees are those within hedgerows. Such features are clusters of 2 or more trees with touching crowns that are integral to a hedgerow feature but have grown to a size of greater than 3 metres and are therefore considered to be a tree feature rather than a hedgerow feature.

## Lone trees

Lone trees such as the example in Figure 13 are readily identifiable in the landscape. The NFI uses the criteria that their canopy must not be touching the canopy of another tree and the tree must be over 2 metres in height to qualify as a lone tree.

Figure 13 An example of a lone tree in open land


Many lone trees are associated with boundary features, such as hedges, fences or walls. These have been identified separately from lone trees in open land in this report and are further categorised into lone trees associated with hedgerows (see below) and lone trees associated with non-living boundaries such as walls and fences, as in Figure 14, which are classed as 'lone trees on a boundary'.
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Figure 14 An example of lone trees on a boundary


Whether planted beside a hedgerow or growing from the hedge itself, hedgerow trees are notable throughout many parts of the British landscape. This report identifies any trees over 3 metres in height that are located within or immediately adjacent to a hedgerow. If there are groups of two or more such trees with touching crowns, they are classified as groups of trees within hedgerows (see above); otherwise they are registered as lone trees in hedgerows. In the landscape in Figure 15, mature lone hedgerow trees are connected by a network of hedgerows, which provide a living link between the hedgerow trees.

Figure 15 An example of a hedgerow tree
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## Hedgerows

Hedgerows form an important part of the British landscape, providing shelter and feedstock for many woodland and non-woodland species within otherwise low woodland cover areas. Hedgerows are often composed of high forest tree species such as beech, ash or oak, and also tree species that are often considered as shrubs, such as hawthorn. Many woodland fauna species rely upon hedgerows in landscapes with low woodland cover, using hedges for shelter, feed and crossing the landscape.

In the foreground of Figure 16, typical hedgerows composed of native species can be seen lining both sides of a minor road, delineating field boundaries. In the background a hedge marks the boundary of residential properties. Hedgerow areas and lengths are assessed from the dimensions of the polygons representing them in the hand-mapped and fieldwork data, and include the areas and lengths of the hedgerows that lie beneath the canopies of any hedgerow trees.

Figure 16 An example of hedgerows


Detailed definitions of the categories set out above are found in Table 3.

Table 3 Definitions of NFI, categories of tree cover outside woodland, and hedgerows

## Category

## 1. NFI woodland

## 1a. NFI woodland

For National Forest Inventory (NFI) reporting, NFI woodland is defined as areas with a canopy cover of $20 \%$ or more (or the potential to achieve this), with a minimum area of 0.5
hectare and minimum width of 20 metres.
For the purposes of this report the 2013 version of the NFI woodland map has been used to represent currently identified areas of NFI woodland.

## 1b. New NFI woodland

Areas of NFI woodland formed of young trees found outside the 2013 NFI woodland map which were established after the year of the photography used for the construction of the map.

## 1c. Extra NFI woodland

Areas of NFI woodland found outside the 2013 NFI woodland map which were present in the photography used for the construction of the map but missed in the mapping exercise.

Each of the categories 1 b . and 1 c . can be sub-divided into:

## $\mathbf{1 b}(\mathrm{i})$ or $\mathbf{1 c}(\mathrm{i})$ Expanded NFI woodland

Areas of trees extending around the side(s) of, and additional to, NFI woodland included in the 2013 NFI woodland map as a result of re-positioning the physical boundary of mapped NFI woodland outwards from the boundary identified in the 2013 NFI woodland map.

## 1b(ii) or 1c(ii) Additional NFI woodland

Areas of trees that meet the definition of NFI woodland and are isolated from, and additional to, areas of NFI woodland included in the 2013 NFI woodland map. Areas of additional NFI woodland therefore have no common boundary with existing woodland areas identified on the 2013 NFI woodland map.

## 2. Small woods

## 2a. Linear small woods

Areas of trees greater than 0.1 hectare in extent with a mean width of at least 4 metres and less than 20 metres, and length of at least 25 metres, with an average length to width ratio of at least $4: 1$. This includes such areas of trees on a boundary. There are no maximum area restrictions and some individual features in this class can therefore exceed 0.5 hectare in extent, but are not classified as NFI woodland since they do not meet the minimum width criteria in the definition of NFI woodland.

## 2b. Non-linear small woods

Areas of trees with actual or potential canopy cover of greater than 0.1 hectare in extent that Any do not qualify as either linear small woods or as NFI woodland area. This therefore height comprises areas of trees that are less than 0.5 hectare in extent, and with a mean width of greater than or equal to 20 metres and/or an average length to width ratio of less than $4: 1$.

Table 3 (cont'd) Definitions of NFI, categories of tree cover outside woodland, and hedgerows

| Category | Feature <br> height |
| :--- | :--- |
| 3. Groups of trees |  |

## 3a. Linear groups of trees

Areas of two or more trees of up to 0.1 hectare in extent with a mean width of at least 4 metres and less than 20 metres, and length of at least 25 metres, with an average length to width ratio of at least $4: 1$. This includes such areas of trees on a boundary. There are no explicit minimum area restrictions other than the minimum area implied by the minimum dimension restrictions ( $4 \mathrm{~m} \times 25 \mathrm{~m}=100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ [ 0.01 hectare]).

## 3b. Non-linear groups of trees

Two or more trees with a continuous canopy extent of less than or equal to 0.1 hectare $\left(1,000 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\right.$ ), and which do not qualify as Linear groups of trees or Hedgerow trees (but does include groups of trees on a boundary).

## 3c. Hedgerow groups of trees

Groups of two or more trees with touching crowns that are 3 metres or more in height and located within hedgerows.

## 4. Lone trees

## 5a. Lone trees in open land

Single trees outside NFI woodland whose crowns have no contact with the crowns of any other tree crown and are not situated on a boundary.

## 5b. Lone trees on a boundary

Single trees outside NFI woodland whose crowns have no contact with the crowns of any other tree crown and are situated on a non-hedgerow boundary.

## 3c. Hedgerow trees

Single trees of 3 metres or more in height located within hedgerows, whose crowns have no contact with the crown of any other tree.

## 6. Hedgerows

Boundary lines of trees and shrubs over 20 metres long and less than 3 metres in height having a mean width of less than 4 metres at the base. Gaps of up to 20 metres count as hedgerow except if the gap forms a different intervening land use such as a road, track or gate.

2 metres or more

3 metres or more

2 metres or more

## Data and methods overview

Preparation of the estimates in this report has involved a combination of analysis and processing of spatial datasets using Geographical Information Systems (GIS) application software, and subsequent statistical analysis of the outputs from these spatial analyses.

Multiple sources of information in the form of Earth Observation GIS spatial datasets have been utilised in this work, and the types of information available differed between England and Wales on the one hand and Scotland on the other hand. Consequently, not only did the available types of data differ between Scotland and the other countries, but the statistical estimation techniques applied to the data also differed. The overall results for Great Britain as a whole are composed of statistical estimates derived from partially different sources using a range of statistical approaches to their estimation. As a consequence, the relative precision of the estimates within the different countries varies to some extent. The different sources of information used in this work are listed and described in detail in Appendix 1. The various spatial datasets used were:

## Data used for England and Wales only

## The National Tree Map ( $\mathbf{N T M}^{\mathbf{T M}}$ ) of England and Wales

A spatial dataset supplied to the Forestry Commission on licence by Bluesky International Limited.

## 'Hand-mapped' data for England and Wales

Results from identification of small woods, tree and hedgerow features from on-screen interpretation of aerial photography within a sample of 217 one-by-one kilometre squares randomly selected throughout England and Wales.

## Data used for Scotland only

## Native Woodland Survey of Scotland (NWSS) woodland map

The NWSS map is a spatial dataset of woodlands and small woods features of physical size of 0.1 hectare or more covering Scotland, produced from interpretation of aerial photography. This dataset was commissioned by Forestry Commission Scotland for NWSS and produced by Forest Research between 2006 and 2010.

## 'Hand-mapped' data for Scotland

Results from identification of small woods features from on-screen interpretation of aerial photography within a sample of 60 one-by-one kilometre squares randomly selected throughout Scotland.

Figure 17 A one-by-one kilometre fieldwork square
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## Data across Great Britain

## 'Fieldwork' data

Results from a sample of 35 one-by-one kilometre squares that were visited by NFI surveyors, who identified and plotted features on the ground within each sample square. The sample used was a subset of the 'hand-mapped' sample squares for England, Wales and Scotland. Figure 17 provides an example of the range of types of tree formations outside woodland.

## The 2013 NFI woodland map

Used to identify and eliminate mapped NFI woodland areas from the analysis of tree cover outside woodland.

## The Ordnance Survey Strategi ${ }^{\circledR} \mathbf{1 : 2 5 0 , 0 0 0}$ scale spatial dataset

Provides a differentiation of land areas in Great Britain into urban and rural areas.
The spatial analysis work involved processing of some of these datasets from the 'raw' forms, supplied or initially available, into derived forms suitable for the estimation of the statistics contained in this report. It also involved, for those datasets generated internally (specifically the hand-mapped data and the fieldwork data), varying degrees of data checking and data cleaning in quality assurance activities.

After preparation of these spatial datasets, further spatial analyses involved super-imposing pairs of these datasets to identify their areas of intersection and non-intersection and recording the categories of features in each dataset that formed the intersections and nonintersections. In this way, data was generated on the relationships between spatial datasets which could then be subjected to statistical analysis. Other GIS analysis of these datasets involved:

- masking areas on the 2013 NFI woodland map so that the results of the analyses related to areas outside of NFI woodland areas;
- differentiating land areas into rural and urban land based on the Ordnance Survey Strategi ${ }^{\circledR}$ dataset;
- identifying tree and hedgerow features as being within either rural or urban areas and
- assigning various other attributes to the features identified in the datasets.

Figure 18 illustrates how the field work and hand mapping samples relate to the 'tree map' and NWSS data. These spatial relationships are the basis of the calibration of the 'tree map' data used to produce the area estimates contained in this report. A full explanation and specification of the spatial calibration procedure used to relate and analyse these datasets is contained in Appendix 1: Data and Methodology.
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Figure 18 Fieldwork and aerial photo hand mapping samples calibrate the 'tree map'


As noted, the types of statistical analyses performed on the data derived from these spatial datasets varied according to the nature of the data:

## Areas in England and Wales

Estimates of areas of small woods, groups and trees were derived from a double-calibration exercise, firstly using the hand-mapped sample data for England and Wales to calibrate the areas on the NTM $^{\top M}$ map to the hand-mapped results, and secondly using the sub-sample of fieldwork data to calibrate the hand-mapped data to the results of the fieldwork, resulting in final estimates based upon fieldwork results. The schema of this double-calibration process, starting with areas on the $\mathrm{NTM}^{\mathrm{TM}}$ map, working through estimated areas based on handmapped data, to final estimates based on calibrated fieldwork data, is shown in Figure 19. In this figure, the blue items represent the data input to the process, which in some stages is a full dataset, or in other stages, is an extracted sub-sample; the green items represent estimates of population areas output at each stage; and the white boxes represent the two stages of the calibration process from $\mathrm{NTM}^{\mathrm{TM}}$ to calibrated fieldwork estimates.

## Areas for Scotland

Initial estimates of areas of small woods, groups and trees utilised the hand-mapping sample within Scotland. The hand-mapped estimates were then calibrated to the fieldwork data using the spatial calibration procedure to give final estimates based upon calibrated fieldwork results.

## Hedgerows

Hand-mapped estimates of the areas and lengths of hedgerows were obtained by direct scaling-up of the hand-mapped results from the areas covered by the sample to the population areas. The hand-mapped areas and lengths of hedgerows were then related to the fieldwork results for hedgerows to give final estimates of hedgerow areas and lengths based on fieldwork results.

## Number of features

Initial estimates of the number of features were derived from the hand-mapped dataset, and final estimates were obtained by relating hand-mapped data to fieldwork data, giving final estimates based on fieldwork.

## Mean sizes of features

Mean sizes of small woods, groups and tree features were obtained by direct calculations of the mean areas of features in the hand-mapped data.

A fuller description of the various statistical analyses performed is given in the Statistical Analysis section (B) of Appendix 1.

Figure 19 Schema of the double calibration process used to derive the estimates
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## Results

This report provides the results from investigations by the NFI on the location, extent and characteristics of tree cover and hedgerows outside areas of full NFI woodland as identified on the 2013 version of the NFI woodland map. All results have a reference date of J anuary 2016. The features found in these areas fall into three broad classes:

1. Areas that qualify as NFI woodland that are located outside the boundaries of woodland areas identified on the 2013 NFI woodland map. These are partly areas of new woodland that have become established since the photography used to construct the map, and partly areas that existed but were not identified as woodland in the original mapping exercise. Some of this newly-identified area extends from the boundaries of the existing mapped woodland and therefore represents expansion of existing mapped areas, while other areas are separate with no common boundary with existing mapped NFI woodland, representing additional areas of NFI woodland.
2. Areas of small woods, groups and individual trees that do not qualify as NFI woodland due either to the minimum size and canopy density requirements for areas of NFI woodland, or are excluded from the NFI woodland map on the basis of the mapping conventions used in the mapping exercise, such as a minimum width of 20 metres and the OS MasterMap ${ }^{\circledR}$ rules (see Glossary). These features have been sub-divided into a number of categories principally on the basis of size and shape characteristics. The categories based on size range from small woods with physical size exceeding 0.1 hectare, groups of trees of physical feature sizes of up to 0.1 hectare, and individual trees whose canopies are not touching the canopies of other trees. These three broad classes are further sub-divided on the basis of shape and, in the case of individual trees, their location with respect to other landscape features.
3. The investigation also recorded and evaluated hedgerows. These are regarded as a separate class of features from woodland and trees since they are often wholly or partly made up of shrub species and do not therefore qualify as tree cover. Trees within hedgerows that had grown to a significant height above the hedgerow (a minimum of 3 metres tall) were included in the tree cover categories.

A full description of these classes and sub-categories can be found in the previous Definitions and terminology section.

The results provide estimates of total areas and, for appropriate categories, the numbers of features within Great Britain, individual countries (England, Scotland and Wales), and individual NFI regions within countries. The mean sizes of observed features within each category were calculated the mean sizes of the features identified in the hand-mapping sample. Hedgerows are reported in terms of their estimated total areas and lengths. For NFI woodland, mapped areas of woodland on the 2013 NFI woodland map are presented along with areas of NFI woodland found outside of the map to provide revised estimates of total
areas of NFI woodland in NFI regions, countries and GB. Within each geographical administrative area, estimates are presented separately for urban and rural areas, as identified in the OS Strategi ${ }^{\circledR}$ spatial dataset. To assist in interpretation and to demonstrate regional and geographic differences in the distribution of small woods features, maps of the distributions are provided (see Figures 2, 50, 51, 52 and 53).

Apart from the areas of NFI woodland defined on the 2013 NFI woodland map and feature sizes, all estimates presented in this report have been derived using data obtained from NFI hand-mapped and fieldwork sample surveys. The estimates provided have been calibrated to the results of the fieldwork exercises (see Appendix 1 - Data and Methodology for technical details). These fieldwork exercises were conducted in late 2015 and early 2016 and therefore the results presented in this section have a reference date of January 2016, being the middate of the fieldwork.

Having been derived from sample survey data, the estimates presented in this section are subject to sampling errors and in all cases (apart from the areas derived from the 2013 NFI woodland map and the mean feature sizes) the precision of the estimates is shown in percentage terms as relative standard errors, being the standard error of the estimate expressed as a ratio of the value of the estimate. The standard errors in relative terms are generally low and at an acceptable level for estimates at country and GB level, although the estimates for Scotland and Wales are mostly higher than those for England due to the different nature of the underlying data available for deriving the Scottish estimates and the smaller sample area of Wales. At NFI regional level the estimates are less precise in relative terms, particularly for less prevalent categories and sub-categories. For estimates with high relative standard errors (of over $25 \%$ as an indication), caution needs to be applied in their interpretation. Such estimates cannot be relied upon as providing a value close to the actual value in the population reported on, and should be regarded as indicative values of the general level of the actual areas or numbers of features and with such are marked amber in the tables. More precise estimates in such sub-populations and categories of small woods, trees and hedgerows would require further data collection focused on the particular population of interest.

In the following tables of results, the value of each estimate is individually rounded and, as a result, the values in the body of the tables may not sum to the marginal totals shown. In some cases, the marginal totals and the values in the body of the tables are independently estimated from the data and this may also result in discrepancies between the sum of the individual estimates in the body of the tables and the estimates of their marginal totals.
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## National Forest Inventory woodland

Forestry Commission publishes the definitive estimates of woodland area for Great Britain.
Figure 20 and Table 4 show that, according to the 2013 NFI map, there were 3,014.3 thousand hectares of NFI woodland in Britain as at 2013. Of this, England had 1,298.2 thousand hectares, Scotland had 1,410.7 thousand hectares, and Wales had 305.4 thousand hectares.

In addition to the mapped woodland area in 2013, an area of NFI woodland outside the 2013 NFI woodland map has been identified by the tree map and the sampling activities. This is composed of 'new' woodland that has become established between the date of the NFI map primary imagery data sources (aerial photography, satellite data) and the date of field survey 2015 to 2016, and 'extra' woodland that was established at the time of the imagery but was not identified in the imagery. These are recorded as 'total unmapped area' in Figure 20 and Table 4.

Figure $\mathbf{2 0}$ NFI woodland area, extra NFI woodland and new NFI woodland areas
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Table 4 NFI woodland area, extra NFI and new NFI woodland by country, urban and rural

| Land <br> category | Mapped NFI <br> (2013) | Total unmapped <br> NFI area |  | Total woodland <br> area |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | (000 ha) | (000 ha) | SE\% | (000 ha) | SE\% |
| Great Britain | $\mathbf{3 , 0 1 4 . 3}$ | 60.3 | 36 | $\mathbf{3 , 0 7 4 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| Rural | $2,931.4$ | 53.0 | 41 | $2,984.4$ | 1 |
| Urban | 82.9 | 7.3 | 43 | 90.2 | 4 |
| England | $\mathbf{1 , 2 9 8 . 2}$ | 38.2 | 35 | $\mathbf{1 , 3 3 6 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| Rural | $1,239.3$ | 32.0 | 41 | $1,271.3$ | 1 |
| Urban | 58.9 | 6.2 | 45 | 65.1 | 4 |
| Scotland | $\mathbf{1 , 4 1 0 . 7}$ | 18.3 | 46 | $\mathbf{1 , 4 2 9 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| Rural | $\mathbf{1 , 3 9 5 . 1}$ | 17.8 | 48 | $1,412.9$ | 1 |
| Urban | 15.5 | 0.5 | 60 | 16.0 | 2 |
| Wales | $\mathbf{3 0 5 . 4}$ | 3.8 | 41 | $\mathbf{3 0 9 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| Rural | 297.0 | 3.2 | 48 | 300.2 | 1 |
| Urban | 8.4 | 0.6 | 60 | 9.1 | 4 |

Notes for Table 4 and Figure 20:

1. There are small differences in the woodland area estimates reported in Table 4 and those reported in Forestry Statistics 2016. This is because the 2016 woodland area estimates in Forestry Statistics 2016 were based on the 2015 NFI woodland map and estimates of new planting in 2015-16. Whereas this report uses more up-to-date information arising from the assessment than was available to Forestry Statistics.
2. Unmapped NFI area is composed of New and Extra NFI woodland (see Definitions and Terminology section). The same area is also subdivided by the categories Expanded and Additional woodland. This data is used to update and inform the derivation of woodland area.

The overwhelming majority of NFI woodland in Great Britain is located in rural areas (97\%) compared to $3 \%$ in urban areas. The pattern is similar across England, Scotland and Wales. Table 8 provides a breakdown of rural to urban woodland area.

## G. Forestry Commission
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## NFI woodland and tree cover outside woodland

Figure 21 Areas of woodland and tree cover outside woodland


Table 5 Areas of woodland and tree cover outside woodland

| Region | Total Iand area | NFI woodland | Tree cover outside woodland |  | Total area of woodland and tree cover | Total area of woodland and tree cover |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (000 ha) | (000 ha) | (000 ha) | SE\% | (000 ha) | \% of Eand area |
| Great Britain | 22,895 | 3,075 | 742 | 5 | 3,817 | 16.7 |
| England | 13,031 | 1,336 | 565 | 5 | 1,901 | 14.6 |
| North West England | 1,411 | 120 | 51 | 6 | 171 | 12.2 |
| North East England | 857 | 117 | 21 | 9 | 138 | 16.1 |
| Yorkshire and the Humber | 1,541 | 117 | 44 | 8 | 161 | 10.5 |
| East Midlands | 1,562 | 101 | 57 | 6 | 158 | 10.1 |
| East England | 1,912 | 156 | 80 | 6 | 237 | 12.4 |
| South East and London | 2,064 | 333 | 124 | 6 | 457 | 22.1 |
| South West England | 2,384 | 266 | 113 | 7 | 379 | 15.9 |
| West Midlands | 1,300 | 126 | 74 | 8 | 200 | 15.4 |
| Scotland | 7,791 | 1,429 | 85 | 13 | 1,513 | 19.4 |
| North Scotland | 1,744 | 237 | 11 | 42 | 248 | 14.2 |
| North East Scotland | 1,142 | 237 | 13 | 23 | 250 | 21.9 |
| East Scotland | 867 | 140 | 20 | 28 | 160 | 18.4 |
| South Scotland | 1,997 | 435 | 34 | 14 | 469 | 23.5 |
| West Scotland | 2,041 | 379 | 7 | 28 | 386 | 18.9 |
| Wales | 2,074 | 309 | 93 | 7 | 402 | 19.4 |

## G. Forestry Commission
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Table 6 Rural areas of woodland and tree cover outside woodland

| Region | Total Iand area | NFI woodland | Tree cover outside woodland |  | Total area of woodland and tree cover | Total area of woodland and tree cover |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (000 ha) | (000 ha) | (000 ha) | SE\% | (000 ha) | \% of End area |
| Great Britain | 21,159.2 | 2,984.4 | 546.0 | 6 | 3,530.4 | 16.7 |
| England | 11,591.8 | 1,271.3 | 415.1 | 5 | 1,686.3 | 14.5 |
| North West England | 1,229.7 | 111.5 | 35.8 | 6 | 147.3 | 12.0 |
| North East England | 788.0 | 114.4 | 16.2 | 11 | 130.6 | 16.6 |
| Yorkshire and the Humber | 1,395.6 | 111.8 | 33.1 | 9 | 144.9 | 10.4 |
| East Midlands | 1,419.5 | 97.2 | 41.8 | 7 | 139.0 | 9.8 |
| East England | 1,716.2 | 148.3 | 51.4 | 7 | 199.7 | 11.6 |
| South East and London | 1,703.3 | 312.1 | 81.4 | 7 | 393.5 | 23.1 |
| South West England | 2,199.6 | 256.2 | 97.1 | 8 | 353.3 | 16.1 |
| West Midlands | 1,139.9 | 119.8 | 58.3 | 9 | 178.1 | 15.6 |
| Scotland | 7,610.4 | 1,412.9 | 71.0 | 15 | 1,484.0 | 19.5 |
| North Scotland | 1,723.6 | 235.8 | 10.8 | 42 | 246.6 | 14.3 |
| North East Scotland | 1,120.1 | 234.8 | 10.8 | 27 | 245.6 | 21.9 |
| East Scotland | 840.6 | 138.2 | 16.4 | 33 | 154.6 | 18.4 |
| South Scotland | 1,913.4 | 428.8 | 28.2 | 16 | 457.0 | 23.9 |
| West Scotland | 2,012.6 | 375.3 | 4.8 | 33 | 380.1 | 18.9 |
| Wales | 1,957.0 | 300.2 | 59.9 | 7 | 360.1 | 18.4 |

## G. Forestry Commission
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Table 7 Urban areas of woodland and tree cover outside woodland

| Region | Total Iand area | NFI woodland | Tree cover outside woodland |  | Total area of woodland and tree cover | Total area of woodland and tree cover |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (000 ha) | (000 ha) | (000 ha) | SE\% | (000 ha) | \% of Eand area |
| Great Britain | 1,736.2 | 90.2 | 196.3 | 10.3 | 286.5 | 16.5 |
| England | 1,438.9 | 65.1 | 150.0 | 10.7 | 215.1 | 14.9 |
| North West England | 180.9 | 8.6 | 15.6 | 13.8 | 24.1 | 13.3 |
| North East England | 69.4 | 2.6 | 5.2 | 15.1 | 7.9 | 11.3 |
| Yorkshire and the Humber | 145.0 | 5.4 | 10.8 | 15.1 | 16.3 | 11.2 |
| East Midlands | 142.8 | 3.7 | 15.1 | 12.5 | 18.8 | 13.2 |
| East England | 195.7 | 8.0 | 29.1 | 10.7 | 37.1 | 19.0 |
| South East and London | 361.1 | 20.8 | 42.4 | 13.1 | 63.2 | 17.5 |
| South West England | 184.1 | 9.6 | 15.9 | 17.5 | 25.5 | 13.8 |
| West Midlands | 159.9 | 6.4 | 15.9 | 12.5 | 22.2 | 13.9 |
| Scotland | 180.7 | 16.0 | 13.5 | 18.2 | 29.5 | 16.3 |
| North Scotland | 20.8 | 1.6 | - | - | 1.6 | 7.8 |
| North East Scotland | 21.9 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 43.5 | 4.2 | 19.4 |
| East Scotland | 26.2 | 2.0 | 3.2 | 29.1 | 5.1 | 19.6 |
| South Scotland | 84.0 | 6.4 | 6.0 | 24.3 | 12.4 | 14.8 |
| West Scotland | 27.9 | 4.0 | 2.1 | 50.6 | 6.1 | 21.9 |
| Wales | 116.6 | 9.1 | 32.8 | 13.7 | 41.9 | 35.9 |

## Major categories of tree cover outside woodland

Figure 24 Areas of major categories of tree cover outside woodland


Table 8 Areas of major categories of tree cover outside woodland

| Land category | Total NFI woodland | Small woods |  | Groups of trees |  | Lone trees |  | Total area of woodland and tree cover |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (000 ha) | (000 ha) | SE\% | (000 ha) | SE\% | (000 ha) | SE\% | (000 ha) | SE\% |
| Great Britain | 3,074.6 | 390.2 | 7 | 255.4 | 6 | 97.1 | 6 | 3,816.9 | 5 |
| Rural | 2,984.4 | 316.5 | 8 | 165.1 | 6 | 64.3 | 6 | 3,530.4 | 6 |
| Urban | 90.2 | 73.7 | 12 | 90.3 | 13 | 32.8 | 15 | 286.5 | 10 |
| England | 1,336.4 | 294.8 | 7 | 192.6 | 6 | 78.2 | 7 | 1,901.4 | 5 |
| Rural | 1,271.3 | 238.0 | 8 | 125.2 | 9 | 52.0 | 6 | 1,686.3 | 5 |
| Urban | 65.1 | 56.8 | 12 | 67.3 | 13 | 26.2 | 15 | 215.1 | 11 |
| Scotland | 1,429.0 | 46.2 | 21 | 29.5 | 12 | 8.9 | 15 | 1,513.5 | 13 |
| Rural | 1,412.9 | 41.0 | 24 | 22.7 | 14 | 7.3 | 17 | 1,484.0 | 15 |
| Urban | 16.0 | 5.2 | 24 | 6.7 | 26 | 1.6 | 31 | 29.5 | 18 |
| Wales | 309.3 | 49.2 | 8 | 33.4 | 9 | 10.1 | 17 | 402.0 | 7 |
| Rural | 300.2 | 37.5 | 9 | 17.1 | 8 | 5.0 | 11 | 360.1 | 7 |
| Urban | 9.1 | 11.7 | 20 | 16.3 | 17 | 5.0 | 32 | 41.9 | 14 |

Figure 25 Number of features of major categories of trees outside woodland


Table 9 Numbers of features of main categories of trees outside woodlands

| Land category | Small woods |  | Groups of trees |  | Lone trees |  | Total number of features |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. of features '000 | SE\% | No. of features '000 | SE\% | No. of features '000 | SE\% | No. of features '000 | SE\% |
| Great Britain | 3,465 | 15 | 11,602 | 20 | 30,092 | 13 | 45,159 | 10 |
| England | 2,800 | 17 | 7,324 | 29 | 22,177 | 16 | 32,300 | 13 |
| Scotland | 202 | 29 | 3,479 | 29 | 6,359 | 23 | 10,040 | 18 |
| Wales | 464 | 45 | 799 | 52 | 1,556 | 33 | 2,819 | 25 |

Figure 26 How features are counted


Note for Figure 25, 26 and Table 9:
The feature counts represent individual features, which may be contiguous with other features. For example in Figure 26 five individual features would be counted not one.

Table 10 Mean sizes of non-woodland tree features

| Land category | Linear small woods | Non- <br> linear small woods | Linear groups | NonLinear groups | Hedgerow groups | Lone trees in open land | Lone boundary trees | Hedgerow trees |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ |
| Great Britain | 2,073 | 1,744 | 388 | 227 | 281 | 34 | 34 | 59 |
| Rural | 2,127 | 1,835 | 424 | 265 | 301 | 44 | 43 | 62 |
| Urban | 1,860 | 1,581 | 325 | 193 | 168 | 25 | 29 | 34 |
| England | 2,064 | 1,728 | 384 | 238 | 254 | 34 | 34 | 57 |
| Rural | 2,124 | 1,832 | 419 | 275 | 266 | 43 | 43 | 58 |
| Urban | 1,854 | 1,571 | 328 | 208 | 160 | 26 | 30 | 41 |
| Scotland | 1,836 | 1,909 | 332 | 146 | 192 | 18 | 32 | 28 |
| Rural | 1,821 | 2,104 | 385 | 186 | 246 | 23 | 44 | 41 |
| Urban | 1,854 | 1,595 | 277 | 118 | 143 | 15 | 24 | 18 |
| Wales | 2,183 | 1,740 | 463 | 280 | 385 | 74 | 36 | 96 |
| Rural | 2,189 | 1,742 | 472 | 295 | 386 | 80 | 46 | 98 |
| Urban | 2,015 | 1,723 | 399 | 220 | 365 | 42 | 23 | 70 |

Note for Table 10:
Areas derived from hand mapped sample data only.

## Breakdown of major categories of tree cover outside woodland

## Area of small woods

Figure 27 Total area of small woods and sub-categories


Table 11 Areas of small woods and sub-categories

| Region | Linear small woods |  |  |  |  |  | Non-linear small woods |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rural |  | Urban |  | Total |  | Rural |  | Urban |  | Total |  |
|  | (000 ha) | SE\% | (000 ha) | SE\% | (000 ha) | SE\% | (000 ha) | SE\% | (000 ha) | SE\% | (000 ha) | SE\% |
| Great Britain | 138.7 | 10 | 25.8 | 16 | 164.5 | 9 | 178.3 | 13 | 48.1 | 17 | 226.4 | 11 |
| England | 109.8 | 10 | 19.3 | 16 | 129.1 | 8 | 128.4 | 11 | 37.7 | 18 | 166.0 | 10 |
| North West England | 6.9 | 13 | 2.3 | 26 | 9.2 | 12 | 10.4 | 10 | 4.7 | 22 | 15.1 | 10 |
| North East England | 4.2 | 24 | 1.1 | 33 | 5.3 | 20 | 5.2 | 17 | 1.6 | 25 | 6.8 | 15 |
| Yorkshire and the Humber | 9.9 | 16 | 1.5 | 31 | 11.4 | 14 | 7.8 | 21 | 3.6 | 27 | 11.4 | 17 |
| East Midlands | 8.6 | 19 | 1.4 | 27 | 10.0 | 17 | 11.9 | 13 | 3.9 | 18 | 15.8 | 11 |
| East England | 14.2 | 14 | 3.5 | 25 | 17.8 | 12 | 17.4 | 12 | 4.2 | 24 | 21.6 | 10 |
| South East and London | 24.5 | 11 | 5.8 | 24 | 30.4 | 10 | 24.7 | 16 | 10.3 | 26 | 35.0 | 13 |
| South West England | 27.6 | 13 | 1.8 | 41 | 29.4 | 12 | 30.8 | 18 | 4.6 | 25 | 35.4 | 16 |
| West Midlands | 14.0 | 15 | 1.7 | 18 | 15.7 | 13 | 20.2 | 20 | 4.9 | 18 | 25.1 | 16 |
| Scotland | 9.4 | 27 | 2.2 | 32 | 11.6 | 22 | 32.0 | 29 | 3.1 | 32 | 35.0 | 26 |
| North Scotland | 1.0 | 67 | - | - | 1.0 | 67 | 5.4 | 67 | - | - | 5.4 | 67 |
| North East Scotland | 2.1 | 41 | 0.3 | 59 | 2.3 | 37 | 4.1 | 48 | 0.4 | 1 | 4.5 | 45 |
| East Scotland | 1.8 | 46 | 0.8 | 54 | 2.6 | 36 | 7.0 | 59 | 0.9 | 50 | 7.9 | 53 |
| South Scotland | 3.9 | 27 | 1.0 | 45 | 4.9 | 24 | 13.2 | 29 | 0.9 | 33 | 14.1 | 27 |
| West Scotland | 0.6 | 56 | $<0.1$ | 68 | 0.7 | 50 | 2.2 | 51 | 0.9 | 68 | 3.1 | 41 |
| Wales | 19.5 | 12 | 4.4 | 10 | 23.8 | 12 | 18.0 | 13 | 7.3 | 19 | 25.3 | 10 |


| Region | Total small woods |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Rural |  | Urban |  | Total |  |
|  | $(000$ ha) | SE\% | $(000$ ha) | SE\% | $(000$ ha) | SE\% |
| Great Britain | $\mathbf{3 1 6 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{7 3 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 9 0 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ |
| England | $\mathbf{2 3 8 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{5 6 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 4 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ |
| North West England | 17.2 | 8 | 7.0 | 17 | 24.2 | 8 |
| North East England | 9.4 | 16 | 2.7 | 21 | 12.1 | 13 |
| Yorkshire and the Humber | 17.7 | 14 | 5.1 | 21 | 22.8 | 12 |
| East Midlands | 20.5 | 12 | 5.3 | 16 | 25.8 | 10 |
| East England | 31.6 | 9 | 7.6 | 18 | 39.3 | 8 |
| South East and London | 49.3 | 10 | 16.1 | 19 | 65.3 | 9 |
| South West England | 58.3 | 12 | 6.4 | 22 | 64.7 | 11 |
| West Midlands | 34.0 | 14 | 6.6 | 15 | 40.7 | 12 |
| Scotland | $\mathbf{4 1 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 6 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 1}$ |
| North Scotland | 6.4 | 63 | - | - | 6.4 | 63 |
| North East Scotland | 6.2 | 38 | 0.6 | 1 | 6.8 | 34 |
| East Scotland | 8.7 | 53 | 1.7 | 40 | 10.4 | 45 |
| South Scotland | 16.9 | 23 | 1.9 | 31 | 18.9 | 21 |
| West Scotland | 2.8 | 46 | 1.0 | 66 | 3.8 | 38 |
| Wales | $\mathbf{3 7 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ |

Figure 28 Rural areas of small woods and sub-categories


Figure 29 Urban areas of small woods and sub-categories


## Number of small woods features

Figure 30 Total number of small woods features including sub-categories


Table 12 Total number of small woods features including sub-categories

| Region | Total small woods |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rural |  | Urban |  | Total |  |
|  | No. of features '000 | SE\% | No. of features '000 | SE\% | No. of features '000 | SE\% |
| Great Britain | 2,474 | 17 | 992 | 34 | 3,465 | 15 |
| England | 1,872 | 19 | 928 | 36 | 2,800 | 17 |
| Scotland | 177 | 32 | 25 | 64 | 202 | 29 |
| Wales | 425 | 49 | 39 | 90 | 464 | 45 |

Note for Table 12:
Small woods features and group features are sometimes contiguous, forming single compound features containing more than one small wood and/or group feature. These components are counted separately for the statistics presented.

Figure 31 Number of small woods features including sub-categories in rural areas


Figure 32 Number of small woods features including sub-categories in urban areas


Total urban small woods

## Size of small woods

Table 13 Small woods mean feature sizes

| Region | Linear small woods |  |  | Non-linear small woods |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rural | Urban | Total | Rural | Urban | Total |
|  | ha | ha | ha | ha | ha | ha |
| Great Britain | 0.19 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.16 | 0.18 | 0.17 |
| England | 0.19 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.16 | 0.18 | 0.17 |
| North West England | 0.16 | 0.18 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.15 | 0.16 |
| North East England | 0.26 | 0.16 | 0.22 | 0.20 | 0.16 | 0.19 |
| Yorkshire and the Humber | 0.20 | 0.19 | 0.20 | 0.16 | 0.19 | 0.17 |
| East Midlands | 0.18 | 0.19 | 0.18 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.17 |
| East England | 0.19 | 0.18 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.16 | 0.18 |
| South East and London | 0.25 | 0.18 | 0.23 | 0.20 | 0.15 | 0.17 |
| South West England | 0.21 | 0.24 | 0.21 | 0.19 | 0.15 | 0.18 |
| West Midlands | 0.26 | 0.18 | 0.24 | 0.19 | 0.17 | 0.18 |
| Scotland | 0.19 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.16 | 0.21 | 0.19 |
| North Scotland | - | - | - | < 0.1 | - | < 0.1 |
| North East Scotland | 0.23 | 0.15 | 0.19 | 0.27 | 0.13 | 0.19 |
| East Scotland | 0.15 | 0.23 | 0.20 | 0.19 | 0.28 | 0.23 |
| South Scotland | 0.18 | 0.16 | 0.17 | 0.22 | 0.10 | 0.18 |
| West Scotland | 0.23 | - | 0.23 | 0.15 | 0.16 | 0.16 |
| Wales | 0.22 | 0.20 | 0.22 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.17 |

## Groups of trees

## Area of groups of trees

Figure 33 Total area of groups of trees and sub-categories


## GY Forestry Commission Statistical Report

Table 14 Areas of groups of trees

| Region | Linear groups |  |  |  |  |  | Non-linear groups |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rural |  | Urban |  | Total |  | Rural |  | Urban |  | Total |  |
|  | (000 ha) | SE\% | (000 ha) | SE\% | (000 ha) | SE\% | (000 ha) | SE\% | (000 ha) | SE\% | (000 ha) | SE\% |
| Great Britain | 62.7 | 9 | 23.4 | 18 | 86.1 | 8 | 83.2 | 7 | 65.3 | 13 | 148.5 | 7 |
| England | 48.9 | 9 | 16.8 | 18 | 65.7 | 8 | 62.3 | 7 | 49.9 | 13 | 112.2 | 7 |
| North West England | 3.4 | 18 | 1.2 | 25 | 4.6 | 15 | 7.9 | 9 | 4.7 | 17 | 12.7 | 9 |
| North East England | 1.1 | 15 | 0.3 | 29 | 1.4 | 13 | 3.2 | 13 | 1.6 | 16 | 4.7 | 10 |
| Yorkshire and the Humber | 4.0 | 17 | 1.4 | 17 | 5.4 | 14 | 4.5 | 13 | 2.0 | 21 | 6.5 | 11 |
| East Midlands | 6.4 | 11 | 1.7 | 25 | 8.0 | 10 | 8.0 | 9 | 6.0 | 16 | 14.0 | 9 |
| East England | 6.3 | 12 | 4.2 | 25 | 10.4 | 12 | 6.9 | 10 | 11.9 | 12 | 18.8 | 9 |
| South East and London | 9.5 | 12 | 4.7 | 20 | 14.2 | 11 | 12.1 | 11 | 14.9 | 15 | 26.9 | 9 |
| South West England | 12.1 | 11 | 1.7 | 26 | 13.9 | 10 | 12.8 | 11 | 4.6 | 28 | 17.4 | 11 |
| West Midlands | 6.1 | 13 | 1.6 | 19 | 7.7 | 11 | 7.0 | 16 | 4.2 | 16 | 11.2 | 12 |
| Scotland | 7.5 | 18 | 1.8 | 27 | 9.2 | 15 | 12.9 | 17 | 4.8 | 31 | 17.7 | 15 |
| North Scotland | 1.4 | 55 | - | - | 1.4 | 55 | 1.4 | 47 | - | - | 1.4 | 47 |
| North East Scotland | 1.4 | 35 | 0.3 | 64 | 1.6 | 31 | 2.3 | 39 | 0.8 | 1 | 3.2 | 35 |
| East Scotland | 1.4 | 30 | 0.4 | 42 | 1.8 | 25 | 3.5 | 38 | 0.9 | 50 | 4.3 | 32 |
| South Scotland | 2.7 | 23 | 0.9 | 35 | 3.6 | 19 | 4.7 | 20 | 2.3 | 43 | 7.0 | 20 |
| West Scotland | 0.5 | 47 | 0.2 | 67 | 0.8 | 39 | 1.0 | 55 | 0.8 | 86 | 1.7 | 49 |
| Wales | 6.3 | 12 | 4.8 | 19 | 11.1 | 11 | 8.0 | 10 | 10.7 | 20 | 18.6 | 12 |


| Region | Hedgerow groups |  |  |  |  |  | Total groups of trees |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rural |  | Urban |  | Total |  | Rural |  | Urban |  | Total |  |
|  | (000 ha) | SE\% | (000 ha) | SE\% | (000 ha) | SE\% | (000 ha) | SE\% | (000 ha) | SE\% | (000 ha) | SE\% |
| Great Britain | 19.0 | 22 | 1.6 | 42 | 20.6 | 21 | 165.1 | 6 | 90.3 | 13 | 255.4 | 6 |
| England | 13.8 | 23 | 0.8 | 44 | 14.6 | 22 | 125.2 | 7 | 67.3 | 13 | 192.6 | 6 |
| North West England | 1.2 | 29 | $<0.1$ | 48 | 1.2 | 27 | 12.6 | 9 | 6.0 | 17 | 18.5 | 8 |
| North East England | 0.5 | 31 | $<0.1$ | 63 | 0.5 | 30 | 4.7 | 12 | 1.9 | 17 | 6.6 | 10 |
| Yorkshire and the Humber | 0.8 | 34 | $<0.1$ | 62 | 0.9 | 33 | 9.4 | 12 | 3.4 | 17 | 12.7 | 10 |
| East Midlands | 1.6 | 23 | 0.2 | 60 | 1.7 | 21 | 15.9 | 8 | 7.9 | 16 | 23.8 | 8 |
| East England | 2.1 | 21 | 0.2 | 50 | 2.3 | 20 | 15.3 | 9 | 16.2 | 14 | 31.5 | 8 |
| South East and London | 2.2 | 29 | 0.2 | 56 | 2.3 | 27 | 23.8 | 9 | 19.7 | 15 | 43.5 | 8 |
| South West England | 3.4 | 25 | $<0.1$ | 52 | 3.4 | 24 | 28.3 | 9 | 6.4 | 24 | 34.7 | 8 |
| West Midlands | 2.2 | 24 | $<0.1$ | 54 | 2.3 | 24 | 15.2 | 12 | 5.9 | 16 | 21.1 | 9 |
| Scotland | 2.3 | 31 | 0.2 | 50 | 2.4 | 29 | 22.7 | 14 | 6.7 | 26 | 29.5 | 12 |
| North Scotland | 0.2 | 56 | - | - | 0.2 | 56 | 3.1 | 39 | - | - | 3.1 | 39 |
| North East Scotland | 0.3 | 45 | $<0.1$ | 96 | 0.3 | 44 | 4.0 | 31 | 1.1 | 1 | 5.2 | 28 |
| East Scotland | 0.5 | 46 | $<0.1$ | 56 | 0.5 | 45 | 5.4 | 32 | 1.3 | 41 | 6.7 | 27 |
| South Scotland | 1.1 | 32 | 0.1 | 58 | 1.3 | 29 | 8.6 | 16 | 3.3 | 35 | 11.9 | 15 |
| West Scotland | 0.1 | 57 | $<0.1$ | 83 | 0.2 | 50 | 1.6 | 44 | 1.0 | 72 | 2.7 | 39 |
| Wales | 2.9 | 20 | 0.7 | 62 | 3.6 | 20 | 17.1 | 8 | 16.3 | 17 | 33.4 | 9 |

Figure 34 Rural areas of groups of trees and sub-categories


Figure $\mathbf{3 5}$ Urban areas of groups of trees and sub-categories


- Linear groups - Urban
- Non-linear groups - Urban

■ Hedgerow groups - Urban

## Number of groups of trees

Figure 36 Number of groups of trees including linear and non-linear sub-categories


Total groups of trees

Table 15 Number of groups of trees including linear and non-linear categories

| Region | Total groups of trees |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rural |  | Urban |  | Total |  |
|  | No. of features '000 | SE\% | No. of features '000 | SE\% | No. of features '000 | SE\% |
| Great Britain | 6,878 | 18 | 4,724 | 43 | 11,602 | 20 |
| England | 3,391 | 22 | 3,933 | 50 | 7,324 | 29 |
| Scotland | 2,800 | 31 | 679 | 82 | 3,479 | 29 |
| Wales | 687 | 58 | 112 | 215 | 799 | 52 |

Note for Table 15:
Small woods features and group features are sometimes contiguous, forming single compound features containing more than one small wood and/or group feature. These components are counted separately for the statistics presented.

Figure 37 Number of features of groups of trees including sub-categories in rural areas


Total rural groups of trees

Figure 38 Number of features of groups of trees including sub-categories in urban areas


## atrowercombism

 Statistical Report
## Sizes of groups of trees

Table 16 Mean sizes of groups of trees

| Region | Linear groups |  |  | Non-linear groups |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Rural |  | Urban | Total | Rural | Urban |
|  | $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |
| Great Britain | $\mathbf{3 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 7}$ |
| England | $\mathbf{3 2 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 8}$ |
| North West England | 399 | 278 | 358 | 294 | 178 | 241 |
| North East England | 409 | 409 | 409 | 251 | 180 | 229 |
| Yorkshire and the Humber | 422 | 417 | 420 | 242 | 286 | 258 |
| East Midlands | 423 | 254 | 387 | 237 | 196 | 220 |
| East England | 405 | 285 | 349 | 262 | 173 | 199 |
| South East and London | 424 | 349 | 384 | 302 | 218 | 239 |
| South West England | 442 | 323 | 414 | 297 | 173 | 249 |
| West Midlands | 414 | 359 | 395 | 255 | 452 | 327 |
| Scotland | $\mathbf{2 7 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 6}$ |
| North Scotland | 451 | - | 451 | 145 | - | 145 |
| North East Scotland | 342 | 242 | 295 | 152 | 90 | 111 |
| East Scotland | 355 | 293 | 323 | 210 | 115 | 165 |
| South Scotland | 424 | 273 | 343 | 201 | 134 | 158 |
| West Scotland | 261 | 461 | 350 | 141 | 140 | 141 |
| Wales | $\mathbf{4 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 0}$ |


| Region | Hedgerow groups |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Rural | Urban | Total |
|  | $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |
| Great Britain | $\mathbf{1 6 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 1}$ |
| England | $\mathbf{1 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 4}$ |
| North West England | 138 | 145 | 140 |
| North East England | 176 | - | 176 |
| Yorkshire and the Humber | 199 | 48 | 162 |
| East Midlands | 199 | 500 | 231 |
| East England | 299 | 95 | 278 |
| South East and London | 214 | 96 | 186 |
| South West England | 260 | 128 | 247 |
| West Midlands | 327 | 375 | 328 |
| Scotland | $\mathbf{1 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 2}$ |
| North Scotland | - | - | - |
| North East Scotland | 223 | - | 223 |
| East Scotland | 106 | 117 | 112 |
| South Scotland | 267 | 150 | 206 |
| West Scotland | 33 | 102 | 85 |
| Wales | $\mathbf{3 8 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 5}$ |

## Statistical Report

## Lone trees

## Areas of canopies of lone trees

Figure 39 Areas of canopies of lone trees


## GY Forestry Commission

 Statistical ReportTable 17 Areas of canopies of lone trees

| Region | Lone boundary trees |  |  |  |  |  | Lone trees in open land |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rural |  | Urban |  | Total |  | Rural |  | Urban |  | Total |  |
|  | (000 ha) | SE\% | (000 ha) | SE\% | (000 ha) | SE\% | (000 ha) | SE\% | (000 ha) | SE\% | (000 ha) | SE\% |
| Great Britain | 21.2 | 18 | 16.4 | 21 | 37.6 | 14 | 21.6 | 11 | 15.0 | 17 | 36.7 | 10 |
| England | 17.4 | 18 | 13.7 | 21 | 31.1 | 14 | 16.5 | 11 | 11.8 | 17 | 28.3 | 9 |
| North West England | 2.2 | 26 | 1.6 | 28 | 3.9 | 19 | 2.5 | 17 | 0.8 | 28 | 3.3 | 15 |
| North East England | 0.7 | 28 | 0.3 | 52 | 1.1 | 25 | 1.0 | 18 | 0.3 | 25 | 1.2 | 15 |
| Yorks. and the Humber | 2.9 | 24 | 1.7 | 21 | 4.7 | 17 | 1.2 | 17 | 0.5 | 19 | 1.8 | 13 |
| East Midlands | 1.5 | 22 | 1.0 | 38 | 2.5 | 20 | 2.0 | 17 | 1.0 | 27 | 3.0 | 15 |
| East England | 1.0 | 22 | 1.1 | 33 | 2.0 | 20 | 1.6 | 18 | 4.3 | 18 | 5.9 | 14 |
| South East and London | 2.7 | 24 | 3.6 | 34 | 6.4 | 22 | 2.9 | 15 | 3.0 | 27 | 5.9 | 16 |
| South West England | 3.6 | 21 | 2.0 | 35 | 5.6 | 18 | 2.7 | 19 | 1.0 | 34 | 3.6 | 16 |
| West Midlands | 2.8 | 21 | 2.2 | 24 | 5.0 | 16 | 2.5 | 17 | 1.1 | 37 | 3.6 | 16 |
| Scotland | 2.6 | 23 | 0.6 | 43 | 3.2 | 20 | 3.1 | 26 | 0.8 | 41 | 4.0 | 22 |
| North Scotland | 0.4 | 56 | - | - | 0.4 | 56 | 0.8 | 66 | - | - | 0.8 | 66 |
| North East Scotland | 0.2 | 35 | 0.2 | 74 | 0.4 | 39 | 0.3 | 42 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.6 | 47 |
| East Scotland | 0.9 | 37 | < 0.1 | 43 | 0.9 | 35 | 1.0 | 50 | 0.2 | 54 | 1.1 | 43 |
| South Scotland | 1.0 | 26 | 0.4 | 59 | 1.3 | 25 | 0.9 | 24 | 0.4 | 59 | 1.3 | 24 |
| West Scotland | 0.2 | 57 | $<0.1$ | 70 | 0.2 | 49 | 0.1 | 48 | $<0.1$ | 90 | 0.2 | 44 |
| Wales | 1.2 | 19 | 2.1 | 53 | 3.3 | 34 | 2.0 | 16 | 2.4 | 39 | 4.4 | 22 |


| Region | Hedgerow trees |  |  |  |  |  | Total for all lone and hedgerow trees |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rural |  | Urban |  | Total |  | Rural |  | Urban |  | Total |  |
|  | (000 ha) | SE\% | (000 ha) | SE\% | (000 ha) | SE\% | (000 ha) | SE\% | (000 ha) | SE\% | (000 ha) | SE\% |
| Great Britain | 21.4 | 12 | 1.6 | 27 | 23.0 | 11 | 64.3 | 6 | 32.8 | 15 | 97.1 | 6 |
| England | 18.0 | 12 | 0.9 | 30 | 18.8 | 12 | 52.0 | 6 | 26.2 | 15 | 78.2 | 7 |
| North West England | 1.5 | 22 | 0.2 | 57 | 1.7 | 20 | 6.3 | 15 | 2.6 | 21 | 8.9 | 12 |
| North East England | 0.4 | 27 | < 0.1 | 45 | 0.4 | 26 | 2.1 | 16 | 0.6 | 35 | 2.7 | 15 |
| Yorks. and the Humber | 1.9 | 21 | < 0.1 | 45 | 2.0 | 20 | 6.1 | 12 | 2.3 | 17 | 8.4 | 10 |
| East Midlands | 2.3 | 17 | < 0.1 | 34 | 2.3 | 16 | 5.8 | 12 | 2.0 | 26 | 7.8 | 11 |
| East England | 1.8 | 22 | 0.2 | 29 | 2.0 | 20 | 4.3 | 13 | 5.4 | 16 | 9.7 | 11 |
| South East and London | 2.5 | 20 | 0.2 | 40 | 2.6 | 19 | 8.1 | 12 | 6.7 | 24 | 14.9 | 13 |
| South West England | 3.9 | 17 | < 0.1 | 42 | 4.0 | 16 | 10.2 | 11 | 3.1 | 28 | 13.3 | 10 |
| West Midlands | 3.7 | 21 | < 0.1 | 41 | 3.8 | 21 | 9.0 | 12 | 3.3 | 22 | 12.4 | 11 |
| Scotland | 1.6 | 22 | 0.1 | 37 | 1.7 | 20 | 7.3 | 17 | 1.6 | 31 | 8.9 | 15 |
| North Scotland | 0.2 | 53 | - | - | 0.2 | 53 | 1.3 | 58 | - | - | 1.3 | 58 |
| North East Scotland | 0.1 | 37 | < 0.1 | 64 | 0.1 | 34 | 0.6 | 33 | 0.4 | 70 | 1.0 | 34 |
| East Scotland | 0.4 | 35 | < 0.1 | 46 | 0.4 | 34 | 2.3 | 35 | 0.2 | 46 | 2.5 | 32 |
| South Scotland | 0.8 | 23 | 0.1 | 44 | 0.9 | 21 | 2.7 | 16 | 0.9 | 41 | 3.5 | 16 |
| West Scotland | $<0.1$ | 47 | $<0.1$ | 70 | 0.1 | 45 | 0.4 | 42 | $<0.1$ | 68 | 0.5 | 36 |
| Wales | 1.8 | 17 | 0.6 | 48 | 2.4 | 18 | 5.0 | 11 | 5.0 | 32 | 10.1 | 17 |

## G)

Figure 40 Areas of canopies of lone trees in rural areas


Figure 41 Areas of canopies of lone trees in urban areas
Country area (thousands of hectares)


## Number of Ione trees

Figure 42 Number of lone trees


Table 18 Number of lone trees

| Region | Total for all lone and hedgerow trees |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rural |  | Urban |  | Total |  |
|  | No. of features '000 | SE\% | No. of features '000 | SE\% | No. of features '000 | SE\% |
| Great Britain | 18,772 | 11 | 11,320 | 28 | 30,092 | 13 |
| England | 11,922 | 13 | 10,255 | 30 | 22,177 | 16 |
| Scotland | 5,514 | 25 | 845 | 62 | 6,359 | 23 |
| Wales | 1,337 | 35 | 219 | 98 | 1,556 | 33 |

Note for Table 18:
Small woods features and group features are sometimes contiguous, forming single compound features containing more than one small wood and/or group feature. These components are counted separately for the statistics presented.

Figure 43 Number of lone tree features in rural areas

$\square$ Total rural lone and hedgerow trees

Figure 44 Number of lone tree features in urban areas


## Sizes of lone trees

Table 19 Mean sizes of lone trees

| Region | Lone boundary trees |  |  | Lone trees in open land |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rural | Urban | Total | Rural | Urban | Total |
|  | $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ |
| Great Britain | 29 | 43 | 34 | 25 | 44 | 34 |
| England | 30 | 43 | 34 | 26 | 43 | 34 |
| North West England | 44 | 27 | 33 | 41 | 26 | 37 |
| North East England | 30 | 19 | 28 | 36 | 32 | 34 |
| Yorkshire and the Humber | 40 | 23 | 30 | 36 | 25 | 31 |
| East Midlands | 37 | 24 | 30 | 43 | 26 | 38 |
| East England | 33 | 25 | 29 | 49 | 24 | 31 |
| South East and London | 63 | 33 | 38 | 48 | 30 | 35 |
| South West England | 45 | 31 | 38 | 44 | 16 | 30 |
| West Midlands | 45 | 34 | 37 | 44 | 32 | 38 |
| Scotland | 24 | 44 | 32 | 15 | 23 | 18 |
| North Scotland | 66 | - | 66 | 17 | - | 17 |
| North East Scotland | 19 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 14 | 14 |
| East Scotland | 48 | 24 | 46 | 30 | 13 | 22 |
| South Scotland | 50 | 35 | 41 | 23 | 17 | 20 |
| West Scotland | 27 | 13 | 20 | 24 | 15 | 19 |
| Wales | 46 | 23 | 36 | 80 | 42 | 74 |


| Region | Hedgerow trees |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Rural | Urban | Total |
|  | $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |
| Great Britain | $\mathbf{3 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 9}$ |
| England | $\mathbf{4 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 8}$ | $\mathbf{5 7}$ |
| North West England | 71 | 75 | 72 |
| North East England | 62 | 40 | 59 |
| Yorkshire and the Humber | 57 | 25 | 55 |
| East Midlands | 56 | 35 | 55 |
| East England | 64 | 27 | 60 |
| South East and London | 64 | 49 | 63 |
| South West England | 54 | 14 | 51 |
| West Midlands | 56 | 27 | 55 |
| Scotland | $\mathbf{1 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 8}$ |
| North Scotland | - | - | - |
| North East Scotland | - | 6 | 6 |
| East Scotland | 26 | 20 | 24 |
| South Scotland | 44 | 20 | 31 |
| West Scotland | 23 | 12 | 18 |
| Wales | $\mathbf{9 8}$ | $\mathbf{7 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 6}$ |

## Hedgerows

## Area of hedgerows

Figure 45 Area of hedgerows


Table 20 Areas of hedgerows by country and region

| Region | Area of hedgerows |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | (000 ha) | SE\% |
| Great Britain | $\mathbf{1 5 8 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ |
| England | $\mathbf{1 2 1 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ |
| North West England | 6.4 | 24 |
| North East England | 2.6 | 36 |
| Yorkshire and the Humber | 8.1 | 26 |
| East Midlands | 21.2 | 16 |
| East England | 18.1 | 18 |
| South East and London | 14.7 | 15 |
| South West England | 32.3 | 19 |
| West Midlands | $\mathbf{1 7 . 6}$ | 16 |
| Scotland | 11.6 | 36 |
| North Scotland | 3.6 | 100 |
| North East Scotland | 0.7 | 59 |
| East Scotland | 1.1 | 47 |
| South Scotland | 5.9 | 31 |
| West Scotland |  | 0.3 |
| Wales | $\mathbf{2 6 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9}$ |

Table 21 Areas of hedgerows by country and rural and urban land categories

| Region |  | Area of hedgerows |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Rural |  | Urban |  | Total |  |  |
|  | $(000 \mathrm{ha})$ | SE\% | $(000$ ha) | SE\% | $(000 \mathrm{ha})$ | SE\% |  |
| Great Britain | 147.1 | 10 | 11.5 | 16 | 158.6 | 9 |  |
| England | 112.5 | 11 | 8.5 | 18 | 121.0 | 11 |  |
| Scotland | 10.4 | 40 | 1.3 | 16 | 11.6 | 36 |  |
| Wales | 24.3 | 20 | 1.7 | 54 | 26.0 | 19 |  |

Notes for Table 20 and 21:
Hedgerow estimates are based upon fieldwork and hand-mapping only (see methodology).

Length of hedgerows

Figure 46 Length of hedgerows
Country length (thousands of km)


Table 22 Length of hedgerows

| Region | Total length of <br> hedgerows |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | $(000 \mathrm{~km})$ | SE\% |
| Great Britain | $\mathbf{4 5 2 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ |
| England | $\mathbf{3 3 6 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ |
| North West England | 7.8 | 24 |
| North East England | 23.1 | 25 |
| Yorkshire and the Humber | 62.6 | 13 |
| East Midlands | 48.8 | 14 |
| East England | 42.2 | 12 |
| South East and London | 81.5 | 16 |
| South West England | 49.1 | 14 |
| West Midlands | $\mathbf{4 0 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 5}$ |
| Scotland | 11.2 | 100 |
| North Scotland | 2.2 | 38 |
| North East Scotland | 4.3 | 38 |
| East Scotland | 22.1 | 21 |
| South Scotland | 0.8 | 38 |
| West Scotland | $\mathbf{7 5 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 6}$ |
| Wales |  |  |

Table 23 Length of hedgerows by country and rural and urban land categories

| Region |  | Total length of hedgerows |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  |  | Rural |  | Urban |  | Total |  |  |
|  | $(000 \mathrm{~km})$ | SE\% | $(000 \mathrm{~km})$ | SE\% | $(000 \mathrm{~km})$ | SE\% |  |
| Great Britain | 409.1 | 7 | 43.1 | 15 | 452.2 | 6 |  |
| England | 305.1 | 8 | 31.0 | 18 | 336.1 | 8 |  |
| Scotland | 34.3 | 38 | 6.3 | 16 | 40.6 | 25 |  |
| Wales | 69.6 | 17 | 5.9 | 48 | 75.5 | 16 |  |

Notes for Table 22 and 23:

1. Hedgerow estimates are based upon fieldwork and hand-mapping only (see methodology).
2. The estimates in this table equate to 281,000 miles of hedgerow in Britain; 208,800 miles in England, 25,200 miles in Scotland and 46,900 miles in Wales.
3. Estimates are broadly comparable to the Countryside Survey 2007 estimates (Centre for Hydrology and Ecology), but will vary due to methodological and sampling differences. In particular in how hedgerows are defined in relation to the discerning line between hedgerow and linear tree features.

## Trees within hedgerows

This sub-section presents estimates of areas and numbers of tree features within hedgerows. Estimates of the areas and numbers of groups of trees in hedgerows are tabulated and displayed in the section on groups of trees in Tables 14 and 16 and Figures 34, 35 and 39, and estimates of areas and numbers of lone trees in hedgerows are tabulated and displayed in the section on lone trees in Tables 17 and 19 and Figures 39, 40 and 41.

Tables 22, 23 and 24 and Figures 47-49 below summarise these estimates and show the total areas of tree features within hedgerows to provide complementary information to the estimates of areas and lengths of hedgerows themselves.

Figure 47 Areas of canopies of hedgerow tree features


Table 24 Area of canopies of hedgerow tree features

| Region | Total hedgerow tree features |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rural |  | Urban |  | Total |  |
|  | (000 ha) | SE\% | (000 ha) | SE\% | (000 ha) | SE\% |
| Great Britain | 40.3 | 14 | 3.2 | 28 | 43.6 | 13 |
| England | 31.8 | 14 | 1.6 | 30 | 33.4 | 13 |
| North West England | 2.7 | 20 | 0.3 | 48 | 2.9 | 19 |
| North East England | 0.8 | 24 | $<0.1$ | 45 | 0.9 | 24 |
| Yorkshire and the Humber | 2.7 | 21 | < 0.1 | 41 | 2.8 | 21 |
| East Midlands | 3.8 | 15 | 0.2 | 48 | 4.1 | 14 |
| East England | 3.9 | 17 | 0.4 | 31 | 4.2 | 15 |
| South East and London | 4.6 | 19 | 0.3 | 39 | 5.0 | 18 |
| South West England | 7.3 | 16 | 0.2 | 38 | 7.5 | 16 |
| West Midlands | 5.9 | 18 | 0.1 | 39 | 6.0 | 18 |
| Scotland | 3.8 | 23 | 0.3 | 34 | 4.2 | 22 |
| North Scotland | 0.4 | 45 | - | - | 0.4 | 45 |
| North East Scotland | 0.4 | 39 | $<0.1$ | 61 | 0.4 | 38 |
| East Scotland | 0.9 | 34 | < 0.1 | 41 | 0.9 | 33 |
| South Scotland | 1.9 | 23 | 0.2 | 38 | 2.2 | 21 |
| West Scotland | 0.2 | 43 | < 0.1 | 69 | 0.3 | 39 |
| Wales | 4.7 | 15 | 1.3 | 42 | 6.0 | 15 |

Figure 48 Area of canopies of hedgerow tree features in rural areas


Figure 49 Area of canopies of hedgerows tree features in urban areas


## Statistical Report

Table 25 Upland and lowland distribution of tree features outside woodland

| Region | Area of features on <br> land over 200m | Area of features on <br> land below 200m |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\%$ | $\%$ |
| Great Britain | $\mathbf{9 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 1 . 0}$ |
| England | 5.6 | 94.4 |
| North West England | 4.6 | 95.4 |
| North East England | 11.5 | 88.5 |
| Yorkshire \& the Humber | 22.4 | 77.6 |
| East Midlands | 0.2 | 99.8 |
| Eastern England | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| S.E. England \& London | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| South West England | 8.6 | 91.4 |
| West Midlands | 12.5 | 87.5 |
| Scotland | $\mathbf{1 5 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{8 4 . 8}$ |
| North Scotland | 20.2 | 79.8 |
| North East Scotland | 12.7 | 87.3 |
| East Scotland | 4.8 | 95.2 |
| South Scotland | 22.4 | 77.6 |
| West Scotland | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| Wales | $\mathbf{2 6 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{7 3 . 5}$ |

## Maps

The following maps are provided to show aspects of the present spatial distributions of woodland and tree cover outside woodland. Figure 50 shows how tree cover outside woodland is predominantly located in valleys and plains and less so on steep slopes or at greater altitude, in this instance in Cumbria. Figure 51 shows the strong association between urban areas, lower altitudes and trees outside woodland in South Scotland. Figure 52 shows the widespread nature of tree cover outside woodland in the landscape, and their lower representation on land at higher altitude, such as Dartmoor, Exmoor, Bodmin Moor and Salisbury Plain. Figure 53 shows that there are a large number of small woods features in South East England and that they are widely spread and represented across this area, including in Greater London. A full suite of 14 A3 regional maps and two A1 national maps (images only as opposed to GIS data) are available for download from the Forestry Commission / Forest Research / NFI website at www.forestry.gov.uk/inventory.

## Statistical Report

Figure 50 NFI woodland and tree cover outside woodland in Cumbria


Figure 51 NFI woodland and tree cover outside woodland in South Scotland


Figure 52 NFI woodland and tree cover outside woodland in South West England


Figure 53 NFI woodland and tree cover outside woodland in London and South East England


## What the results tell us

The results show that there are more trees and tree cover outside woodlands in Great Britain than previous evaluations had reported. There are 742 thousand hectares of tree cover outside woodland in total, representing $19 \%$ of all tree cover (both woodland and outside of woodland) and $3.2 \%$ of all land area. The report also quantifies non-woodland tree features in terms of numbers of features and characterises these features in terms of their sizes, shapes and locations. This locational work identifies that the distribution of tree cover outside woodland varies considerably across Britain, ranging from 4.5\% of land area in Wales to $1.1 \%$ in Scotland.

The increased area of non-woodland tree cover observed in this work will include long established tree cover, small scale and non-grant aided tree planting arising over recent decades and natural regeneration on abandoned farmland and brownfield land. Tree establishment alongside transport routes and built developments will also have contributed. Improved detection and reporting of these features has become possible through the application of new techniques and technologies in earth observation, Geographic Information Systems and statistics. In essence these new techniques are much more capable of identifying and quantifying smaller features such as lone trees and small groups of trees. This extra accuracy has resulted in more coverage of nonwoodland tree features being identified within the landscape. Although the findings of the 1947 and 1951 surveys, known at the time as the modern Domesday assessment and based upon a full census of woods (see Background section), found a similar ratio of woodland to non-woodland trees (one in five trees were non-woodland trees or $21 \%$ of all trees were outside woodland).

The results show that the distribution of tree cover outside woodland is highly uneven across Britain, displaying strong patterns with very different amounts and proportions of tree cover outside woodland found between the rural and urban land categories, upland and lowland environs and between the east and west of Britain. Urban landscapes contain higher levels of tree cover than rural, lowlands have much more tree cover outside woodlands than the uplands and the west has much higher levels of nonwoodland tree cover than the east. There is also a significant association with trees and linear features such as watercourses and land boundaries, with $37 \%$ of non-woodland tree cover features being linear in nature. The survey establishes that non-woodland tree features and tree features as a whole are more concentrated in urban areas than rural areas, demonstrating that trees are an integral part of the immediate human environment in Britain.

It is of note that tree coverage in urban areas is $16.5 \%$ across Britain. This pattern is remarkably persistent across British urban areas and evident in the estimate that 34\% of lone trees in Britain are found in urban areas.

## Statistical Report

The distribution of tree cover outside woodland is a result of a history of woodland clearance, historic land husbandry, land use economics, boundary marking and the planting and establishment of trees, the latter in particular in the $20^{\text {th }}$ century. This history helps to explain the uneven distribution of non-woodland tree cover found across Britain and is explored in more depth in Appendix 2 on the Historical influences on tree cover. Exactly how the trees identified in this report were originally established is uncertain without further field surveys.

## Future work

The findings in this report can inform those areas of policy and practice that involve small woods, groups of trees and lone trees, such as plant health management, landscape ecological network planning, habitat restoration, carbon accounting and urban planning.

The results presented are primarily based upon earth observation techniques supported by limited fieldwork and assess only the area and number of tree features outside woodland. The results do not include estimates of the composition and nature of these trees, covering parameters such as:

- Tree species
- Tree age
- Tree health

Obtaining such data would require a more extensive fieldwork programme with more detailed assessment of the trees forming non-woodland features.

A future NFI report, planned for release in 2018, will report on the change in woodland and non-woodland tree cover between 1924 and 2016, comparing NFI findings to those of previous Forestry Commission woodland censuses.

## Glossary

| Forest (and woodland) | National Forest Inventory (NFI) woodland is defined as land <br> with a minimum area of 0.5 hectare under stands of trees, and <br> tree crown cover of at least $20 \%$, or the potential to achieve <br> this. The minimum width for woodland is 20 metres. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Forestry Commission (FC) | The government department responsible for the regulation of <br> forestry, implementing forestry policy and management of <br> state forests in England and Scotland. Forestry policy, <br> regulation and management is devolved, with the exception of <br> common issues addressed on a GB or UK basis. |
| Group | A configuration of two or more trees less than 0.1 ha in extent. |
| Hectare (ha) | Unit of area equivalent to 100 metres x 100 metres $=10,000$ <br> square metres. 1 ha $=2.47$ acres. |
| Hedgerow group | A group of trees that span a hedgerow. |
| Boundary tree | A lone tree on a boundary, such as a wall or fence. |
| Hedgerow tree | A lone tree within a hedgerow greater than 3 metres in height. |
| Lone tree | A single tree of over 2 metres in height (or over 3 metres in <br> height in hedgerows) whose crown is not in contact with that of <br> any other trees. |
| Urban and rural areas | Urban areas in this report are the areas mapped as the urban <br> land category in the Ordnance Survey (OS) 1:250,000 <br> Strategi ${ }^{\circledR}$ spatial dataset. All land areas of Britain outside this <br> category are taken to be rural areas. |
| Linear group | A group of trees with a width of less than 20 metres. |
| Lowland and Upland Britain | For the purposes of this report, land at an altitude below 200 <br> metres is defined as lowland and above 200 metres as upland <br> (based on Bartholomew 1:200,000 Contour Data). |
| Tree cover | An inventory run by the Forestry Commission, set up in 2009, <br> to provide a record of key information about GB forests and <br> woodlands. |
| National Forest Inventory <br> (NFI) | A mapping product that delineates features of the United <br> Kingdom landscape. |
| Ordnance Survey (OS) <br> MasterMap | Wooded area of greater than 0.1 hectare in extent that does <br> not qualify as NFI woodland. |
| Small woods | The area of land covered by the crowns of trees. |

## Appendices

## Appendix 1: Data and methodology

## (A) Data sources

The estimates of areas and incidences of small woods features published in this report have been derived from a number of data sources. Statistical calibration models have been employed to produce estimates from the combined information in these sources. The various spatial datasets that have been utilised in this process are listed in the Method overview section of the main report. A more detailed description of each spatial dataset is provided here, separated into the reference datasets that are defined across the land areas of Great Britain or of whole countries, and sample datasets defined within randomly selected one-by-one kilometre sample squares.

## Reference datasets

## National Tree Map ( $\mathrm{NTM}^{\mathrm{TM}}$ )

This is a spatial product produced and marketed by Bluesky International Limited comprising the estimated presence and location of tree canopies with complete coverage of all land area. It was generated from a combination of aerial photography, colour infrared imagery and digital terrain and surface models. These were processed using an automated algorithm that attempted to identify areas of tree cover from this information by means of bespoke image-processing techniques.

The product licensed for use by the Forestry Commission covered the whole land area of England and Wales and differentiated the area into tree cover and non-tree cover based upon the interpretation algorithm used. Examination of the quality of the product showed that the differentiation is good but not perfect; it contains some misidentification of non-tree features as tree cover, misses some features of tree cover, and successfully identifies but has locational and size inaccuracies of some tree cover features (sometimes due to problems with the inclusion of ground shadow as tree cover). The product is specified as identifying the canopies of trees that have attained a height of 3 metres or more, but a proportion of trees of less than 3 metres in height were successfully identified and included in the map.

The product supplied by Bluesky and used in this study represented individual tree canopies as seamless differentiated (non-overlapping) polygons. This was postprocessed by the NFI team by 'dissolving' adjacent individual tree canopy representations into integrated combined polygons, then categorising the polygons resulting from this process into four classes of apparent tree cover from the properties of these polygons:

1. Individual trees with canopies not touching the canopies of other trees;
2. Groups of trees of an integrated canopy area of less than 0.05 hectare in extent (labelled 'groups');
3. Areas of apparent integrated tree canopy between 0.1 hectares and 0.5 hectare in extent (labelled 'small woods'); and
4. Areas of apparent integrated tree canopy of over 0.5 hectare in extent (labelled ‘NFI missed’ or 'un-mapped').

## Native Woodland Survey of Scotland (NWSS) dataset

This dataset was originally commissioned by Forestry Commission Scotland for the Native Woodland Survey of Scotland project ${ }^{7}$. It consists of a spatial dataset of all woodland areas in Scotland of a minimum size of 0.1 hectares identified by interpretation of aerial photography. It was produced between 2006 and 2010 using aerial photography taken over the period 1999 to 20098. Masking out NFI woodland areas according to the 2013 NFI woodland map provides information on wooded areas of over 0.1 hectare in extent outside the NFI map.

The 2013 NFI woodland map
The NFI woodland map is a spatial product of the NFI that is updated annually and maps and classifies areas of NFI woodland (by Interpreted Forest Types - 'IFT's') that have been identified from aerial photography, satellite imagery and administrative sources. It is used in this study to eliminate areas identified as NFI woodland from the analysis, so that the estimates in this report relate to areas outside of mapped NFI woodland areas.

## The Ordnance Survey Strategi ${ }^{\circledR} 1: 250,000$ scale map

This is a spatial product from Ordnance Survey that differentiates the land area of Great Britain into several infrastructure layers, including urban land, with urban land being identified as areas containing a concentration of buildings and other structures. It has been used in this study as a stratification factor in the design of the survey work and to provide a breakdown of overall results into those pertaining to rural and urban areas separately.

[^3]
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## Sample datasets

These datasets have been generated by the NFI with hand-mapping and ground survey exercises within randomly selected one-by-one kilometre sample squares. The numbers of such samples selected for each survey within each NFI region, country and GB is shown in Table 26. In many cases these sample squares contained both rural and urban areas, as identified in the Ordnance Survey Strategi ${ }^{\circledR}$ map, and the statistical units used in the analysis of the various datasets were defined as either the rural and urban areas within these sample squares. Consequently, those squares that contain both rural and urban areas contributed two separate units of information to the analyses. Table 26 shows the numbers of sample squares in total and also the number of squares containing rural areas and urban areas. The sum of the latter two categories therefore generally exceeds the total number of surveyed squares.

Table 26 Numbers of hand mapped and fieldwork one-by-one kilomentre sample squares

| Region | Hand-mapped sample |  |  | Fieldwork sub-sample |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. of sample squares containing: |  | Total no. of sample squares | No. of sample squares containing: |  | Total no. of sample squares |
|  | Rural | Urban |  | Rural | Urban |  |
| Great Britain | 271 | 101 | 277 | 33 | 16 | 35 |
| England | 185 | 78 | 190 | 24 | 13 | 26 |
| North West England | 22 | 15 | 23 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| North East England | 13 | 6 | 13 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Yorkshire and the Humber | 22 | 6 | 22 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| East Midlands | 24 | 8 | 24 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| East England | 25 | 11 | 26 | 4 | 1 | 4 |
| South East and London | 28 | 15 | 31 | 5 | 1 | 5 |
| South West England | 33 | 11 | 33 | 5 | 3 | 6 |
| West Midlands | 18 | 6 | 18 | 3 | 2 | 4 |
| Wales | 27 | 9 | 27 | 4 | 1 | 4 |
| England and Wales | 212 | 87 | 217 | 28 | 14 | 30 |
| Scotland | 59 | 14 | 60 | 5 | 2 | 5 |
| North Scotland | 6 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| North East Scotland | 9 | 2 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| East Scotland | 10 | 3 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| South Scotland | 24 | 7 | 24 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| West Scotland | 10 | 1 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 1 |

England and Wales hand-mapped data
The hand-mapping exercise involved visual interpretation of aerial photography to identify and plot the location of the boundaries of tree cover and hedgerows within a sample of 217 one-by-one kilometre squares in England and Wales and to classify the identified features into a number of different categories. The exercise was confined to land areas outside the woodland areas identified in the 2013 NFI woodland map.

## Statistical Report

The sample of 217 squares selected for England and Wales comprised an initial 17 squares that were previously assessed in a pilot study on the quality of the $\mathrm{NTM}^{\text {TM }}$ product, augmented by a further 200 samples that were subsequently selected and hand-mapped. This sample of 200 was stratified by NFI region and by urban and rural areas, with the latter classification defined and identified by the OS Strategi ${ }^{\circledR}$ map. The results of this hand-mapping exercise were used to relate to and calibrate the information in the NTM $^{\top M}$ map. Since the pilot study found that the NTM ${ }^{\top M}$ product was more accurate in identifying tree features in rural areas compared to urban areas, urban areas were sampled more intensively in the hand-mapping exercise in order to compensate for these observed differences in accuracy attained by the NTM ${ }^{\top \mathrm{M}}$ product. Accordingly, in the selection of the sample of 200 squares, the probability of selection of urban areas was weighted by a factor of 2.6 in comparison to rural areas.

The mechanism of selection of the sample involved enumerating the rural and urban land areas outside NFI woodland (according to the 2013 NFI woodland map) within each NFI region. The proportion of the sample squares allocated to each NFI region was assigned pro-rata to a 'weighted' total land area within each region, calculated as (rural land area) $+2.6^{*}$ (urban land area). Selection of the sample of squares within each NFI region was made with probability of selection of a square proportionate to the 'size' of the square, where the 'size' of a square was calculated as (rural land area) +2.6* (urban land area), after excluding areas of woodland according to the 2013 NFI woodland map.

Within each selected square, after masking out areas of NFI woodland according to the 2013 NFI map, the aerial photography interpreters plotted the boundaries of each individual tree or wooded feature identified in the photography, and classified each feature as belonging to one of the categories listed in Table 27.

Table 27 Tree cover categories assessed and captured in the hand-mapping exercise

| Feature name | Description |
| :--- | :--- |
| NFI missed | Extension of NFI woodland or area of woodland $>0.5$ hectare in extent <br> and $>20$ metres in width |
| Small woods | Wooded area between 0.05 hectare and 0.5 hectare in extent and <br> $>20$ metres in width |
| Linear group | Wooded area $<20$ metres in width and with a length to width ratio of <br> at least 4:1 |
| Clustered group | Groups of trees of $<0.05$ hectare in extent that do not qualify as a <br> linear group and are not growing within a hedgerow |
| Hedgerow group | Groups of trees growing within a hedgerow |
| Boundary tree | Single tree growing on a boundary (and not in a hedgerow) |
| Hedgerow tree | Single tree growing within a hedgerow |
| Lone tree | Single tree not on a boundary or in a hedgerow |

Post-processing of the hand-mapped data was undertaken to more closely align the categories in the processed dataset to those used in this report, as defined in Table 3. Accordingly:

- ‘Non-linear groups of trees’ were formed by pooling ‘Clustered groups’ with ‘Small wood' features of less than 0.1 hectare;
- 'Linear groups of trees' were formed of 'Linear groups' of less than 0.1 hectare;
- 'Non-linear small woods’ were formed of ‘Small wood’ features of greater than or equal to 0.1 hectare; and
- 'Linear small woods' were formed of 'Linear groups' of greater than or equal to 0.1 hectare.

In addition to identifying and plotting tree and woodland features, the hand-mapping exercise also identified and plotted hedgerows within the sample squares (composed of shrubs and/or small trees), from which the area and length of hedgerows were assessed.

## Scotland hand-mapped data

In Scotland, a total of 60 one-by-one kilometre squares were selected for hand-mapping which, as with the England and Wales exercise, involved interpretation of aerial photography to identify and plot tree features, individual trees and hedgerows within the sample squares.

The sample was stratified by NFI regions within Scotland and by urban and rural areas, the latter according to the Ordnance Survey Strategi ${ }^{\circledR}$ mapping product. For the distribution of the sample, an analysis was first undertaken to identify and enumerate the areas of polygons in the NWSS dataset of less than 0.5 hectare outside NFI woodland areas and within urban and rural areas of each NFI region. Using the results of this analysis, the proportion of the total sample assigned to each combination of NFI region and land category (urban or rural) was allocated pro-rata to these areas.

Within each NFI region, selection of representative sample squares in rural areas was made by assigning the probability of selection of a square to be proportional to the amount of rural area within the square outside the 2013 NFI woodland map. A similar procedure was used for the selection of sample squares in urban areas. This resulted in an overall sample within each NFI region with probability of selection proportional to the amount of non-NFI land area within the square.

As with the England and Wales hand-mapping exercise, within each selected square, after masking out areas of NFI woodland according to the 2013 NFI map, the aerial photography interpreters plotted and categorised each individual tree, woodland feature and hedgerow identified in the photography. However, in Scotland, the categories of woodland features described in Table 27 included a differentiation of the 'NFI missed'
category used in England and Wales into two separate categories, labelled 'NFI edge' and 'NFI missed'. Included in 'NFI edge' were areas of canopy extending from the NFI map that had not been included within the map due to the mapping rules used, while the 'NFI missed' category contained identified areas of woodland of $>0.5$ hectare in extent and $>20$ metres in width not adjacent to mapped NFI woodland, and also areas attached to woodland areas on the NFI map that qualify as part of NFI woodland according to the NFI mapping rules.

As with the England and Wales hand-mapped dataset, the linear groups and small woods categories were post-processed into separate sub-categories according to feature sizes of less than or greater than 0.1 hectare, and small woods features of less than 0.1 hectare were pooled with the clustered group features into 'non-linear groups of trees'. As noted for England and Wales, the Scotland hand-mapping exercise also identified and plotted hedgerows within the sample squares (composed of shrubs and/or small trees), from which the area and length of hedgerows were assessed.

## Fieldwork data

A sub-sample of the one-by-one kilometre hand-mapped squares in England, Scotland and Wales were visited by NFI surveyors to ground-truth the hand-mapped results obtained from aerial photography interpretation and to provide an assessment of the heights of trees in tree and woodland features.

The sub-sample subjected to fieldwork assessments consisted of a total of 35 squares, comprising 30 squares from the selection of 217 hand-mapped samples in England and Wales and 5 squares from the selection of 60 hand-mapped samples in Scotland. The distribution of the sub-sample across NFI regions, and rural and urban areas, is shown in Table 26.

In all cases the field surveyors were given the results of the hand-mapping exercise to verify or correct the existence, location and classification of the hand-mapped polygons and to identify and plot any additional features that were not captured in the handmapped data. This included the identification of temporarily unstocked areas, such as areas of clearfell. The surveyors were instructed to plot the boundaries of all features to the dripline of the trees. At most sample sites the mean heights of the trees of each feature were assessed into classes of below 2 metres, 2 metres to less than 3 metres, and 3 metres and over, although at 10 of the sites, coarser assessments were used of less than 2 metres and 2 metres and over.

The various woodland, tree and hedgerow features identified by the ground surveyors in areas outside the 2013 NFI woodland map were classified by the surveyors into the categories described in Table 28.

Table 28 Fieldwork woodland and non-woodland tree cover categories

| Category | Area | $\begin{gathered} \text { Minimum } \\ \text { tree } \\ \text { height } \end{gathered}$ | Maximum tree height | $\begin{gathered} \text { Minimum } \\ \text { width } \end{gathered}$ | Maximum width | Temporary unstocked to be mapped |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NFI missed <br> (a polygon of trees that should have been included in the NFI tree layer and will effectively extend the NFI area) | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ for the new polygon. <br> Total area with the NFI polygon/s must be $\geq 0.5$ hectare | 0 metre | n/a | n/a | n/a | Yes |
| Small wood <br> (a woodland too small to be part of the NFI) | $\begin{aligned} & 0.05-<0.5 \\ & \text { hectare } \end{aligned}$ | 0 metre | n/a |  |  | Yes |
| Group linear (length of at least 25 metre, with a length: width ratio of roughly $4: 1$ ) | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 0 metre | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 4 metre | 19 metre | No |
| Group clustered (>1 tree in a group, crowns must be touching) | $>1$ tree to 0.05 hectare | 2 metres | n/a | 0 metre | 19 metre | No |
| Group hedgerow <br> (A group of trees within a hedgerow) | $>1$ tree with touching crowns | 3 metres | n/a | n/a | n/a | No |
| Individual tree - Boundary <br> (tree is on the boundary of a field. <br> Also includes urban trees along a boundary e.g. street trees) | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 2 metres | n/a | n/a | n/a | No |
| I ndividual tree - Middle (tree is within a field) | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 2 metres | n/a | n/a | n/a | No |
| I ndividual tree - Hedgerow (trees $\geq 3$ metre tall within a hedgerow are mapped as individual trees) | n/a | 3 metres | n/a | n/a | n/a | No |
| Hedgerows <br> (any boundary line of trees and shrubs over 20 metre long and less than 3 metre height and maximum width of 4 metre at base, maximum allowable gap 20 metre but break if different intervening land use such as road, track, gate) | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | n/a | 3 metres (any tree $\geq 3$ metres to be mapped as an individual tree) | 0 metre | 4 metre | No |

The field surveyors' assessments were latterly subjected to quality assurance checks, including visits to a sub-sample of the sample sites by quality assurance assessors, and desk examination and correction of any faulty assessments with the aid of aerial photography. The identified features were then post-processed using GIS software, with the aid of aerial photography, to classify all identified features into the classes and groups described in Table 3:

- 'NFI missed' features were sub-classified into 'New NFI woodland’ or 'Extra NFI woodland' on the basis of the apparent age of the trees in the aerial photography,
with features composed of young or undetectable trees in the photography assigned to 'New NFI woodland';
- 'NFI missed' features were also sub-classified into 'Expanded NFI woodland' if the feature extended from areas of woodland on the NFI map, or as 'Additional NFI woodland' if the feature was detached from mapped woodland areas on the NFI map;
- 'Small wood' features were classed as either 'Non-linear small woodlands' or 'Nonlinear groups of trees' according to whether the size of the feature was greater than, or less than or equal to, 0.1 hectare respectively;
- ‘Group linear' features were classified as either ‘Linear small woodlands' or 'Linear groups of trees' according to whether the size of the feature was greater than, or less than or equal to, 0.1 hectare respectively; and
- ‘Group clustered’ features were identified as 'Non-linear groups of trees'

The resulting derived dataset provided the information from which estimated areas and numbers of features classified into the categories described in Table 3 were calculated and reported.

## (B) Statistical Analysis

A number of different approaches were used in the statistical analysis of the data to produce estimates of areas of tree cover, numbers of features and mean feature sizes, according to the nature and structure of the data available and the output metric required. These approaches are described in detail in this section. The estimation of areas of tree cover made use of a bespoke technique to "spatially calibrate" between pairs of spatial datasets and this technique is described with illustrations in the following sub-section.

The spatial calibration procedure for the estimation of areas of tree cover
This technique has been developed to use the spatial relationships between two spatial datasets to 'calibrate' the known or previously estimated areas at population level of one dataset (the "primary" dataset) for the purpose of estimating the population areas of the other dataset (the "calibrating" dataset).
Taking as an example the calibration of NTM $^{\top M}$ areas to the hand-mapping results (the data for which are described in the previous section), the situation within a particular one-by-one kilometre sample square is shown in Figure 54, where both the $\mathrm{NTM}^{\mathrm{TM}}$ data and the hand-mapped data are plotted in the form of polygons representing identified features, and the two spatial datasets are overlaid. Within this sample square, areas covered by both NTM ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ and hand-mapped polygons can be observed (i.e. intersecting areas) and other areas can be observed that are covered only by NTM ${ }^{\top M}$ polygons or only by hand-mapped polygons (non-intersecting areas).

## Statistical Report

Figure 54 A one-by-one kilometre survey square in Devon


In general, because the NTM ${ }^{\top \mathrm{M}}$ product has plotted the whole population, both the total area covered by $\mathrm{NTM}^{\top \mathrm{M}}$ polygons in the population, and the total areas of particular categories of NTM ${ }^{T M}$ polygons, are known through GIS evaluation and summing of polygon areas across the population. (In the context of this work, "population" here refers to the total area of such features within a geographically defined region, such as, for example, rural areas within an NFI region or country.) The hand-mapped data, on the other hand, has only been observed and evaluated within a random sample of one-by-one kilometre sample squares.

The procedure described here uses the spatial relationships between the two datasets, in the form of intersections and non-intersections, to construct estimates of the population values of areas based on hand-mapped data (i.e. what the hand-mapped areas would be if the hand-mapping operation had covered the whole area of the population). In this instance, the NTM $^{\top \mathrm{M}}$ dataset is the "primary" dataset and the hand-mapped dataset is the "calibrating" dataset.

The situation at population level is denoted conceptually in Figure 55 for a simplified example in which the primary dataset is split into just two categories with population areas $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ which can be calculated directly from the dataset, and an unknown population area $Y$ of the calibrating dataset. $X_{1}, X_{2}$ and $Y$ each represent the areas of many individual polygons of plotted features across the population and the intersections of $Y$ with $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ represent the common intersecting areas of these respective sets of polygons. In practice, $Y$ represents the area of a particular category of features or group of such categories in the calibrating dataset, such as, for example, the total area of features in the linear small woods category of the hand-mapped data. The area Y at population level is the unknown value that we wish to estimate.

Figure 55 Population-level spatial relationship between primary and calibrating datasets


The information available for estimating $Y$ consists of the results from a sample survey in which, within the geographic sample units of this survey, areas of features of the calibrating dataset have been plotted using GIS software. The geographic units in the context of this work are rural or urban areas within randomly selected one-by-one kilometre sample squares. Additionally, the mapped features of the primary dataset lying within the geographic units of the sample survey can be extracted from the full primary dataset. The spatial data from the two datasets can now be plotted together and can be spatially compared within the sample areas, as shown, for example, for a particular sample square in Figure 54. The situation across the sample as a whole, comprising all sample squares, is conceptually shown in Figures 56a and 56b.

Figure 56 Sample-level spatial relationship


Note to Figures 55 and 56:
The convention is used of denoting population areas with upper case letters and areas within a sample with lower case letters.

In Figure 56a the area labelled ' $y$ ' represents the physical area covered by features of a particular category, or group of categories, of the calibrating dataset. (In practice this will be composed of many individually plotted features across the survey.) Areas ' $x_{1}$ ' and ' $x_{2}$ ' represent areas of the two categories of features in the primary dataset (which likewise will in practice represent many individually plotted areas). There will be intersecting areas between the area ' $y$ ' and those of ' $x_{1}$ ' and ' $x_{2}$ ' and also areas of nonintersection between the primary and calibrating datasets. The areas of intersection and non-intersection are decomposed in Figure 56b, in which, across the sample as a whole:

- ' $a$ ' represents the areas of the features in the calibrating dataset not intersecting with the primary dataset;
- 'b' represents the area of intersections of the features in the calibrating dataset with the first category of features of the primary dataset;
- ' $c$ ' represents the area of intersections of the calibrating dataset with the second category of features of the primary dataset;
- ' $d$ ' represents the areas of non-intersection of the first category of features of the primary dataset with the features of the calibrating dataset; and
- 'e' represents the areas of non-intersection of the second category of features of the primary dataset with the features of the calibrating dataset.
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The following identities hold between the areas shown in Figures 56a and 56b:
$y=a+b+c$
$x_{1}=b+d$
$\mathrm{x}_{2}=\mathrm{c}+\mathrm{e}$

Then, across the sample, we can define:
$r_{1}=b /(b+d)$ as the proportion of the area $x_{1}$ intersecting with area $y$;
$r_{2}=c /(c+e)$ as the proportion of the area $x_{2}$ intersecting with area $y$;
$s=(a+b+c) /(b+c)$ as the inverse of the proportion of area $y$ intersected by areas $x_{1}$ and $\mathrm{X}_{2}$
Applied across the sample as a whole, these parameters express the relationship between area $y$ and those of $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ of Figure 56a in the identifying equation:
$y=s *\left(r_{1} * x_{1}+r_{2}{ }^{*} x_{2}\right)$
These sample-based calibration parameter estimates are then used at population level by substituting $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ of Figure 55 for $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ of Figure 56 a respectively to provide the estimate $Y^{\prime}$ for area $Y$, thus:
$Y^{\prime}=s^{*}\left(r_{1} * X_{1}+r_{2} * X_{2}\right)$
Relationship (1) can be generalised to any number of mutually exclusive categories of the primary dataset and expressed at individual sample plot level with the introduction of random plot-level errors:
$y_{i, j}=s_{i} * \sum_{k}\left(r_{i, k} * x_{i, k}\right)+\varepsilon_{i, j}$
where:
$y_{i, j}$ is the area of calibrating dataset features of category $i$ in sample square $j$
$x_{i, k}$ is the area of primary dataset features of category $k$ in sample square $j$
$\varepsilon_{i, j}$ are random errors associated with areas of calibrating dataset category $i$ in sample square $j$
$r_{i, k}$ and $s_{i}$ and are the calibration parameters calculated across the sample as a whole.
The example calibrating equation (2) can be generalised in like manner from equation (3) to give the calibration estimate for category $i$ of the calibrating dataset using any number of mutually exclusive categories in the primary dataset:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{i}^{\prime}=s_{i} * \sum_{k}\left(r_{i, k} * X_{k}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $X_{k}$ are the total areas of features of category $k$ of the primary dataset in the population.
$Y^{\prime}$ is a sample-based estimate and is therefore subject to sampling error. The scale of this error is indicated by the degree to which the relative areas of a to e of Figure 56b in individual sample units vary from the relationships between them across the sample as a
whole. The accuracy of the estimate $\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}$ is also related to the degree of coincidence between the two datasets: in a case where there are no physical intersections between the primary and calibrating datasets, no information about the area $Y$ can be determined from this calibration method, and alternative methods of estimation of $Y$ would need to be used. At the other extreme, if the two datasets were exactly coincident then the identity $Y=X_{1}+X_{2}$ would hold, so the area $Y$ would be known to the same extent that the areas $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ are known.

The sampling standard error of the estimate $Y^{\prime}$ can be calculated asymptotically by considering the estimate to be a function of random variables defined across the sample. This then involves application of the formula for the asymptotic variance of a function of random variables which takes the general form:
$\operatorname{Var}\left(Y^{\prime}\right)=\mathbf{f}^{\prime} \mathbf{V} \mathbf{f}$
where $\underline{\mathbf{f}}$ is a vector of partial derivatives of the estimator with respect to each of the component variables involved in the calibrating equation, evaluated at the mean values of the component variables, and $\mathbf{V}$ is the sample variance-covariance matrix of the component variables. The asymptotic standard error of the estimate is the square root of its variance $\left[\sqrt{ } \operatorname{Var}\left(\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}\right)\right]$.

The above description of the spatial calibration procedure has used the calibration from NTM $^{\text {TM }}$ to hand-mapped data as part of the illustration. In the analysis of available data to produce area estimates, the same procedure has been used several times to also calibrate hand-mapped to fieldwork data. In these cases, the population values for the hand-mapped dataset (which becomes the 'primary' dataset) are themselves estimates rather than known values (as is the case with the $\mathrm{NTM}^{\text {T }}$ dataset) so the calibrating equation (4) uses the population area estimates $X^{\prime}{ }_{k}$ of the primary dataset in place of actual values $X_{k}$. This introduces a second source of error in the resulting calibration estimates, in addition to those deriving from the calibration procedure itself. The general formula for asymptotic variance given by equation (5) incorporates both sources of error in the estimated standard error of the calibration estimates.

Areas of tree cover in England and Wales
The derivation of the estimates of areas of tree cover in England and Wales utilised data and information from:

- the National Tree Map ( $\mathrm{NTM}^{\top \mathrm{T}}$ );
- the NFI hand-mapping exercise in England and Wales;
- the fieldwork data across GB;
- the 2013 NFI woodland map;
- the Ordnance Survey Strategi ${ }^{\circledR}$ spatial dataset.

The estimation process consisted of a double calibration analysis relating $N^{T M}{ }^{\top M}$ polygons to the hand-mapped polygons, then relating the hand-mapped polygons to the results of the fieldwork. The schema of this double-calibration process is represented in Figure 19 of the main report. The 2013 NFI woodland map was used to exclude NFI woodland from these analyses, while the OS Strategi ${ }^{\circledR}$ product was used to separate the analysis and resulting estimates into areas within rural and urban land categories. In the first stage calibration, a spatial calibration analysis was performed within the 217 one-by-one kilometre hand-mapped samples to relate the NTM $^{\top M}$ polygons to the handmapped polygons. Intersecting areas of the two datasets were identified and the categories of both the NTM ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ polygons and the hand-mapped polygons forming the areas of intersection were recorded. Additionally, within each sample square, the total areas of each category of $\mathrm{NTM}^{\top M}$ polygon that did not intersect hand-mapped polygons, and the areas of each category of hand-mapped polygon that were not intersected by NTM $^{\top \mathrm{M}}$ polygons, were also recorded.

This analysis produced sample datasets of results from the sample squares within each NFI region and within rural and urban areas within NFI regions. Results in sample squares that were intersected by boundaries on the OS Strategi ${ }^{\circledR}$ map between rural and urban areas were separated into the results pertaining to each land category. For each combination of NFI region and land category, the NTM ${ }^{\top M}$ data was calibrated to the hand-mapped sample using the spatial calibration procedure.

In the second stage of the analysis, a spatial calibration of the hand-mapped results to the fieldwork results was undertaken. The sub-sample used for the fieldwork exercise was too small to partition into individual samples for each NFI region, and in this analysis the total of 35 fieldwork sample squares throughout GB are partitioned only into rural and urban subsets, resulting in separate calibration models applying across GB to each land category. (As with the first stage calibration exercise, sample squares that are dissected by boundaries on the OS Strategi ${ }^{\circledR}$ map between rural and urban areas contribute the relevant data in the square to the analyses of each of the land categories.)

Standard errors of the final fieldwork-based estimates accounted for sampling error contributions from each of the two applications of the spatial calibration procedure, as explained in the description of the procedure. In the implementation of these calculations, when there were no intersections throughout the sample between polygons of a fieldwork category with those of a hand-mapped category, the hand-mapped category was omitted from the calculation of the variance and standard error of the fieldwork-calibrated estimate.

Hedgerow areas and lengths in England and Wales
The NTM ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ product relates only to trees and therefore does not include data on hedgerows. The NFI hand-mapping and fieldwork exercises, on the other hand, identified and plotted hedgerows as well as tree features, so this data was used independently to estimate the areas and lengths of hedgerows.

In the case of hedgerows, therefore, the first stage hand-mapped estimates of areas and lengths were made by means of a simple ratio calculator on the results for hedgerows in the hand-mapped sample squares. Within each subsample pertaining to an NFI region and rural or urban land category, the total area and lengths of hedgerows within the sample were divided by the total non-NFI land area of the relevant land category within the sample squares to give the area and lengths of hedgerows per hectare within the sample. These were then multiplied by the total non-NFI land area of the NFI region and land category to give the estimates of total hedgerow areas and lengths in the combination of NFI region and land category. The variances of these estimates used the standard formula for the variances of ratio estimators.

The hand-mapped results for hedgerows were then calibrated to the fieldwork results for hedgerows by means of further ratio estimators, in this case relating the total areas and lengths of hand-mapped hedgerows within the fieldwork sub-sample to the total areas and lengths of hedgerows identified in the fieldwork. Separate ratio estimators were calculated for rural and urban areas across Great Britain and applied to the handmapped estimates for each NFI region and land category within region. The variances and standard errors of the fieldwork-based final estimates contain sources of sampling error associated with each of the two stages of the overall estimation process.

## Areas of tree cover and hedgerow areas and lengths in Scotland

The data and information available for estimating tree cover and hedgerows in Scotland was of a different form to that for England and Wales. In particular, the product available for Scotland that provided information on tree cover in areas outside woodland, with full coverage across the whole country, was the NWSS dataset. This contains, from interpretation of aerial photography, all woodland polygons greater than 0.1 hectare in extent, from which the subset of polygons of sizes 0.1 hectare to 0.5 hectare could be extracted. In England and Wales, the dataset giving full coverage across the whole area was the NTM $^{\text {TM }}$ map.

As noted in the description of the hand-mapped sample in Scotland, the distribution of the sample was determined by the relative total areas of NWSS polygons within NFI regions and land categories, and within a NFI region and land category combination, samples were selected using overall probabilities of selection proportional to non-NFI
rural and urban areas within the squares. Within an NFI region, this gave an overall probability of selection of any particular square to be:
$p_{i}=a_{i} /<$ Total NWSS area within the region $>$
where $a_{i}$ is given by:
$a_{i}=u_{i}{ }^{*}\left(U_{N} / U_{T}\right)+r_{i}{ }^{*}\left(R_{N} / R_{T}\right)$
where:
$u_{i}$ is the non-NFI urban area in square $i$;
$r_{i}$ is the non-NFI rural area in square $i$;
$U_{N}$ is the total area of non-NFI NWSS polygons in urban areas of the region;
$U_{T}$ is the total non-NFI urban area in the region;
$R_{N}$ is the total area of non-NFI NWSS polygons in rural areas of the region; and $R_{T}$ is the total non-NFI rural area in the region

For areas of any hand-mapped category of small woods or individual trees, and for hedgerow areas and lengths, the probability proportional to size estimator of total areas or lengths is given by:
$Y=\left(\sum_{i} y_{i} / p_{i}\right) / N$
In which $y_{i}$ is the total area or length of the relevant features found in sample square $i$ and $N$ is the sample size.

The variance of these estimators are then given by:
$\operatorname{var}\left(\hat{y}_{i}\right) / N$
where $\hat{y}_{i}$ is the 'density' of the category in sample square $i$, calculated as $y_{i}$ divided by the non-NFI land area in the square.

This provided estimates of areas and their variances based on the hand-mapped data for Scotland. These were then used to produce estimates calibrated to fieldwork results. In the case of tree features, this involved applying the hand-mapped to fieldwork spatial calibration procedure to derive final estimates, while in the case of hedgerows, a single ratio estimator for each of rural and urban land categories was applied, in the same way as for hedgerows in England and Wales.

## Numbers of features and mean feature sizes

Hand-mapped estimates for numbers of features were obtained by scaling-up the handmapping counts using physical areas within the sample squares and scaling the results up to the total land area of the population. Features that straddled the sample square boundary were counted as belonging to that square if the majority of its area was contained within the square.

Accordingly, for each hand-mapped category within each combination of NFI region and land category, the estimated number of features per hectare was obtained by dividing the number of features in the sample by the non-NFI area of the relevant land category in the sample. The product of this result with the total non-NFI land area of the land category within the NFI region gave the estimated total area of the hand-mapped category in the NFI region.

For each of rural and urban land categories, the numbers of fieldwork features in the fieldwork sub-sample was related to the numbers of hand-mapped features in the subsample. The ratios of these numbers of features were then used to adjust the handmapped estimates to values based on fieldwork results. Standard errors of the resulting estimates took into account the sampling errors introduced in the two stages of this estimation process.

Mean feature sizes were calculated directly from the hand-mapped dataset and represent the mean areas of polygons of each category of features found within the sample squares covering the relevant geographic areas. Polygons that were not contained entirely within a sample square were excluded from these calculations.

## Appendix 2: Historical influences on tree cover

Considering the history of land use in Britain, it is not particularly surprising that such a high proportion of trees are in small woods, groups or stand alone. Britain was a largely wooded island 8,000 years ago, which was progressively deforested through successive waves of clearance of trees for agriculture and for sourcing timber. Woodland clearance initially concentrated on areas composed of more fertile and accessible soils, land that would produce better agricultural land. As the population grew the need for agricultural land increased and less productive land was also brought into agriculture. This clearance occurred over several thousand years and involved many actors, acting for different reasons and at different scales. This clearance tended to be sporadic, unplanned and generally small-scale in nature, reflecting the impacts of a range of socio-economic and environmental factors over time. This pattern of removal would logically leave many small remnants of the pre-existing woodland between the woodland cleared and this pattern is reflected in the estimates. Rackham et al. (1987) in The History of the Countryside explains how the larger woodland expanses present in the Neolithic period were denuded over the millennia though a process of slow attrition to leave Britain with a woodland cover of circa $5 \%$ in 1900. The remaining woodland was mostly composed of small fractions of remaining ancient woodland, with a few notable exceptions of larger woods such as the Forest of Dean, the New Forest and remnants of the Caledonian Pinewood. This pattern is reflected in the results in this report and previously published NFI data on the distribution of woodland sizes, with many more small woods than larger woods (see NFI Woodland Area Report 2011 www.forestry.gov.uk/inventory).

Superimposed on this landscape of remnant fragments of the pre-existing woodland, people have made efforts to both retain and to put trees back into the landscape. Many small woods were retained or established to supply raw materials to communities in the medieval period and the retention of much of the ancient woodland in lowland Britain occurred in this way. Changes in land use and land ownership over history have also necessitated the marking out of land ownership though the establishment of boundaries in many forms. This includes the establishment of hedges and lone boundary trees alongside boundaries, walls, fences and ditches. From the establishment of the first field boundaries in the Bronze Age, to the marking of the 'Hundreds' and 'Frithes' under the Anglo-Saxons and to the Enclosures Acts 1604 to 1914, trees and hedges were planted to mark boundaries. The impact of this deeper history in land ownership law and boundary marking appears to be reflected in the results, with a high proportion of small woods and group features being classified as linear in nature or associated with linear features (37\%).

The impact of different land law can be seen clearly where much higher levels of tree cover outside woodland are found in England and Wales per unit area (with similar rates of cover of $4.3 \%$ and $4.5 \%$ respectively) as compared to Scotland, with $1.1 \%$. This goes beyond a solely upland / lowland impact, with Wales having both a high proportion of upland and a higher amount of boundary features and non-woodland tree cover than Scotland. England and Wales have similar and distinct land ownership histories as compared to Scotland, resulting in more boundary marking with trees and hedgerows. Scotland experienced a different land-use and land-law history and, through this, lower levels of boundary marking, which in combination with the relatively low ratio of lowland to upland, its northerly latitude and the lower population levels of the uplands, it has resulted in the lower areas and numbers of small woods features identified in Scotland. Land law will also have added to the high level of linear wooded features, as trees are often associated with these though other factors have driven the high level of linear features. Many features are associated with watercourses where the difficulties posed in clearing trees from watercourse banks, which are often steep and inaccessible to both humans and livestock has added to the linear features total. Also, from ancient times to recent, the establishment of trees alongside thoroughfares, from drove roads to motorways, has formed many linear tree features across Britain

Higher levels (91\%) of tree cover outside woodland are found in the lowland areas of Britain as compared to the uplands. This is likely to reflect how less productive upland areas were generally formed into larger fields or management units than more productive lowland areas. Upland areas thus have fewer boundaries and boundary trees per unit area. Other factors, such as local culture, are also likely to have also played a role in the levels of tree cover outside of woodland. Rackham (1986) cites how historic land-use approaches divided the English countryside into two zones, one of 'ancient countryside' and one of 'planned countryside'. In the 'ancient' the land use practices of the Bronze-Age resulted in many small fields, small woods and field boundaries that have persisted through to the modern age. These tended to be retained in the western, Celtic parts of Britain, which resulted in more non-woodland tree cover features in these areas. This is as opposed to the eastern areas of Britain, where the Anglo Saxons and in turn the Georgians and Victorians, in an attempt to increase agricultural productivity, rationalised boundary structures, especially so under the 'Enclosure Acts' 1604 to 1914, and with such reduced wooded boundary features and resulted in a 'planned' countryside. This pattern can be seen distinctly in the results, with many more nonwoodland features in the western lowlands of Britain as opposed to the eastern areas. Even in the western uplands of England and Wales there are more features than in lowland eastern areas.

Since 1920, Government policy has been to re-afforest, generally through larger-scale planting, although a notable amount of planting has occurred over areas of less than 0.5 hectare through successive woodland grant schemes. For example, around $40 \%$ of
discrete wooded areas within English Woodland Grant Schemes established between 1990 and 2012 were under 0.5 hectare in extent (see 2011 NFI report on Woodland Area). Also, tree planting has often been a key component of the planning of built developments and has been delivered through schemes such as the tree planting on motorway verges, roundabouts and other transport infrastructure, as well as that of planting alongside building developments. The more organic establishment of trees, through the publics planting of trees in gardens has contributed significantly to the total amount of tree cover in urban areas. This will have been added to by charities and other non-government bodies organising planting on other urban ground. All these latter factors will have led to the report's findings that tree cover in urban areas is $16.5 \%$.
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## NFI national reports

This report provides information on the size and structure of tree and hedgerow features outside woodland in Great Britain. NFI reports that contain related information are:

- NFI Woodland Area Statistics, Great Britain, England, Scotland, Wales (2011)
- Preliminary estimates of the changes in canopy cover in British woodlands between 2006 and 2015 (2016)

A number of other NFI reports have been produced to date:

- 25-year forecast of softwood timber availability (2012)
- 50-year forecast of softwood timber availability (2014)
- 50-year forecast of hardwood timber availability (2014)
- 25-year forecast of softwood timber availability (2016)

These and NFI data can be found on the NFI website www.forestry.gov.uk/inventory.

## Official Statistics

This is an Official Statistics publication. More information about Official Statistics and the UK Statistics Authority is available at www.statisticsauthority.gov.uk

National Forestry Inventory Statistician: Alan Brewer
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ (over half a hectare in extent and greater than 20 metres in width)

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Mid date of the fieldwork assessments, to which all other data is calibrated.
    ${ }^{3}$ Rural and urban as defined by the Ordnance Survey Strategi ${ }^{\oplus}$ dataset.
    ${ }^{4}$ Trees over 2 metres in height, unless in hedgerows, for which the minimum height is 3 metres.
    ${ }^{5} 1$ kilometre $=0.621$ miles.

[^2]:    ${ }^{6}$ The United Nations Food and Agriculture Organisation (FAO), European Union and Forest Europe (MCPFE) define woodland as having a minimum area of 0.5 ha, whilst land use, land-use change and forestry (LULUCF) accounting uses a 0.1 hectare lower threshold for 'wooded land', which aligns to the small wood threshold used in this report.

[^3]:    ${ }^{7}$ The final report of this project can be found at http://scotland.forestry.gov.uk/supporting/strategy-policy-guidance/native-woodland-survey-of-scotland-nwss/national-nwss-report
    ${ }^{8}$ The map published by NWSS utilised polygons of 0.5 hectare and over from this work, and was limited to native, nearly native and plantations on ancient woodland sites (PAWS) sites in Scotland.

