

### Growth and yield models for improved Sitka spruce

Talk to the Timber Quality Steering Group, Northern Research Station

by Tom Jenkins Project manager: Forest mensuration growth and yield

11<sup>th</sup> June 2009



- Update on the current state of development of growth and yield models within Forest
- Questions underlying the use of these for modelling production from improved Sitka spruce
- Wider issues
- Example output



## Where are we now?

## Growth models currently under development

- Yield Lookup (Forest Yield)
- M1
- M3 (Dynamic Forest Yield)
- M1-CCF

Forest Research

- DSORT
- ASORT
- BSORT
- CSORT
- MOSES.

### Strategic approach: 'M-series' model classification



5 17/06/2009

B

Forest Research

## Yield Lookup (Forest Yield)

- Electronic presentation of Booklet 48
- Stand-level estimates
- Static yield tables
- Extended to later stand ages than in Booklet 48
- Includes 'LISS' yield tables
- In final stages of development
- 'Polished' user interface
- Being prepared for publication end 2009



## M1 growth model

- Dynamic representation of Booklet 48
- Rased on 'Christie' naradiam
- Stand-level estimates
- Wide range of thinning regimes
  - cycle, type, intensity
- Some representation of LISS
- Advanced stage of development
- Already in use within FC PF system
- 'Dirty' user interface
- Currently no plans for publication.



## M3 growth model (Dynamic Forest Yield)

- Dynamic representation of tree size classes
- Stand and tree level estimates
- Wide range of thinning regimes
  - cycle, type, intensity

orest Research

- Some representation of spatial variation
- Advanced stage of development
- 'Polished' user interface
- Should be published in due course.

### M3 predictions: dbh distribution



MT thin

Forest Research

No thin



## Modelling improved Sitka spruce



- We have a number of working models of varying sophistication
- We will however need further information in order to appropriately apply the best of these to improved Sitka spruce
- The necessary input assumptions will ideally be informed by hard data...

...although it is likely that we will have to make a number of "intelligent guesses".



- The diameter distribution is likely to be narrower in improved SS...
  - ...but by how much?
  - ...will the shape of the distribution change?
- Mean tree diameter may be greater at a given age...
  - ...but by how much?
- Because of the anticipated uniformity of the distribution, will the upper tail contain as many [larger diameter] trees?



• Will tree mortality be the same as in stands of "traditional" origin?

(A change in mortality will affect stocking levels, and consequently mean tree size).

• All of the above will have an effect on the volume assortment...

...but how significant will this be in economic terms?



## Wider issues...

Wider modelling questions and issues:

## Availability

Forest Research

How do we best distribute interim [growth and yield] models within Forest Research?

## Version control

How do we ensure that our researchers are alwavs using (and know about) the latest available releases?

Training seminars and web briefings

To communicate the known limitations of the models and the key underlying assumptions.

Wider modelling questions and issues:

- Component Object Model (COM) implementation.
- Application Programming Interface (API) publication (and wider "training").
- Future modelling requirements (*e.g.* outputs, and integration)...?

orest Research



## Some example output...









### Some example differences (age 50)

YC12: 2.0m × 2.0m initial spacing, intermediate thinning (N.B. main crop values, before thinning)

	Existing	New
Age of 1 <sup>st</sup> thin	25	28
Top height (m)	21.0	21.9
Trees/ha	571	525
Mean dbh (cm)	31	30
BA (m²/ha)	42	38
Mean vol (m <sup>3</sup> )	0.63	0.71
Vol (m³/ha)	360	374
Cum. vol (m <sup>3</sup> /ha)	570	585



### Some example differences (age 50)

YC12: 2.0m × 2.0m initial spacing, no thinning

	Existing	New
Top height (m)	21.0	21.9
Trees/ha	1405	1665
Mean dbh (cm)	23	23
BA (m²/ha)	61	69
Mean vol (m <sup>3</sup> )	0.38	0.39
Vol (m³/ha)	534	650
Mortality (%)	6	0



# With thanks to Robert Matthews and other members of FREG at Alice Holt.