Investigating Complex Oak Decline-Diseases: Predisposition Factors with Relevance for Resilient Oak in Designed Landscapes





Primary diseases: One pathogen = One disease model E.g. Sudden Oak Death – Phytophthora ramorum A disease is defined as: A continuous irritation causing a deviation from normal functioning of the host, often with detrimental effect to the host.

- Infectious (biotic causes)
- Non-infectious (abiotic causes)
- Potentially infectious (latent, altered function)
 Traditional Model Disease Triangle

3 essential components with dynamic interaction that affects expressed severity

Lacking

- Temporal aspects of pathosystems
- Short on detail
- Does not take account of essential intermicrobial interactions that are fundamental to pathobiomes



Decline: Arises from <u>interactions</u> of <u>interchangeable</u>, <u>specifically ordered</u>, abiotic and biotic factors that produce a general deterioration, often ending in death of trees.

Decline diseases

- Decline Spiral Model has 3 progressive phases
 - **Predisposing** (environmental stresses modification of plant resistance making the plant more susceptible)
 - Inciting (tipping point, events)
 - **Contributing** (cumulative biotic effects overwhelming of the whole or parts of the host)
- Time
- Dynamic disease system linked and impacting each localised factor – spirals within spirals

• Scale



- Oak Declines complex disease syndromes
- Two forms of oak declines
- Acute Oak Decline above ground stem weeps
- Chronic Oak Decline concept developing root

Acute Oak Decline (AOD) Stem weeps, bacteria, Agrilus



Chronic Oak Decline (COD) driven by poor root health







Conceptual relationships between AOD and COD



21/04/2020

AOD – the past 10 years – research on key causal agents







Decline: Arises from <u>interactions</u> of <u>interchangeabl</u>e, <u>specifically ordered</u>, abiotic and biotic factors that produce a general deterioration, often ending in death of trees.

Decline diseases

- Decline Spiral Model has 3 progressive phases
 - Predisposing (environmental stresses modification of plant resistance making the plant more susceptible)





Phase 1 of Decline Diseases is Predisposition



Question:

Are there identifiable predisposition drivers in oak declines at UK AOD and COD sites





Hypotheses:

- **1.** There are identifiable soil pre-disposition drivers associated with AOD and COD
- 2. Predisposition factors vary at different scales (national, site, tree scales) and tree condition (AOD/COD)
- 3. Altered and impaired tree nutrient uptake is linked with soil conditions and poor root development
- 4. Altered and impaired feeder root morphology and chemistry are linked with predisposition factors
- 5. Linkages occur between soil predisposition factors, tree root and foliage parameters

AOD distribution maps and spatial datasets used



Sandra Denman

- GAM generalised additive mixed models revealed that <u>AOD occurs in</u>:
- Warmer areas
- Low rainfall areas
- Low elevations areas
- High nitrogen deposition
- Low sulphur deposition
- On drought sensitive soils



ceions and Orop Protection, Rothamsted Research, Harpenden AL5 2JQ, UK r Ecosystems, Society and Biosecurity, Forest Research, Alice Holt Lodge, Farnham GU 41H, UK Fonivornners and Lefs Sciences, University of Salford, Maschesier MS 44VT, UK

Hollis (2006) **Day degrees** 5 km x 5 km grid Average max and min monthly temp (1971-2000) above 11.5 °C **UK Met Office Atmospheric deposition** 5 km x 5 km grid (CEH, 2006) **National Soil Map** Polygon shapefile (Cranfield University, 2004) 1:25,000 NFI Polygon shapefile (FC 2011) woodland map Hydrology **Types** Polygon shapefile of Soil (Boorman et. al., 1995) (HOST)

Resolution

5 km x 5 km grid

Source

UK Met Office Parry and

Dataset

Climatic parameters

Ecological Site ClassificationWoodlandhabitatand(Pyatt, Ray and Fletcher,for forestry (ESC)management map2001).

Environment influences distribution





Predisposition probability to AOD





Soil as a predisposing factor at site specific scale and the links between soil and trees (roots and foliage)

Ten study sites: 7*AOD and 3*COD

10 healthy and 10 symptomatic paired trees were chosen = 20 trees – used across all work packages

For each tree, 5 soils and 5 root samples were taken with soil cylindrical core (8 cm diameter, 15 cm depth)

Each root sample split to mineral soil of 0-15-15-30 cm depth

Each soil sample split to humus layer and mineral soil of 0-20, 20-40, 40-80 cm depth

The same 20 trees in each site had foliar sampling and analyses

All chemical analyses carried out in FR's biogeochemical laboratory at Alice Holt

Foliar sampling – 4 cardinal directions



Soil and root sampling – 5 points per tree

Parameters measured

AOD sites = Attingham, Great Monks Wood, Langdale, Winding Wood COD sites = Bigwood, Chestnuts Wood, Speculation Tree health condition: AOD, COD, Healthy, Remission



Foliage Morphology and Chemistry

a

Feeder roots



Morphology and Chemistry

Soils

Physical properties Stone content, clay/silt/sand, bulk density

> Chemistry pH, moisture

Litter layer Humus layer 0-20 cm depth 20-40 cm depth 40-80 cm depth

4 cardinal directions -

0-15 cm depth Live

0-15 cm depth Dead

15-30 cm depth Live

15-30 cm depth Dead

S, E, N, W



Leaf/Root Morphology parameters

- Leaf/Root biomass/necromass
- Leaf/Root surface area
- Root length
- Root diameter
- Number of root tips
- Number of root forks
- Number of root crossings

Foliar/Root/Soil chemistry

- Nitrogen (N)
- Carbon (C)
- Potassium (K)
- Calcium (Ca)
- Phosphorous (P)
- Aluminium (Al)
- Magnesium (Mg)
- Sodium (Na)
- Boron (B)
- Cadmium (Cd)
- Chromium(Cr)
- Copper (Cu)
- Iron (Fe)
- Manganese (Mn)
- Nickle (Ni)
- Zinc (Zn)
- Ratios: C:N; N:P; Ca:Al; N:K; N:K; N:Mg;

Deposition data of each site

	Rainfall	Growing degree				Base	Critical load
Site	(mm)	above 11.5C	dry NOX	dry NHX	dry SOx	cations	for N is 12 kg/ha/a
	mm	days	kg/ha/a	kg/ha/a	kg/ha/a	kg/ha/a	
Hatchlands	743.61	537	18.1	15.1	6.9	6.2	Spatial effects – forests in East Anglia showed measured total N up to 47 kg/ha/a at the edge going down to 20 kg/ha/a inside the woodland
Langdale	744.15	515	14.2	20	5.2	3.1	
Bigwood	636.46	525	17.4	32.5	6.7	4.4	
Winding Wood	591.7	534	19.6	17.9	6.7	4.2	
Attingham	680.32	468	11.8	30.4	4.9	2.6	
Speculation	974.55	434	15.3	17.1	6.9	3.9	
Chestnuts	947.76	440	14.8	20.8	6.8	3.9	
Sheen	618.45	677	18.2	15	6	5	
Great Monks	589.87	588	19.7	17.6	6.8	4.5	
Eastnor	702.4	564	13.2	21.6	4.8	2.9	

Acidity driven scenario:



Acidity driven Healthy vs AOD trees Significant differences – in AOD trees:

- Soil acidification
- Al toxicity to roots
- Cation (Ca, Mg, K) depletion •
- **Higher stone content** ۲
- *Lower* root density, biomass, tips, ۲ branching
- *Lower* carbon and water holding ٠ capacity
- *Lower* specific leaf area but similar • biomass
- *Lower* tree uptake of N, P, Ca, Mg and K •

Sites exhibiting more of these patterns are:

- Great Monks wood
- **Attingham park**
- Winding Wood
- Langdale

All of these are AOD sites!

reduces cations availability (Ca, Mg and K) by exchange Al toxicity inhibits feeder roots growth causing low capability of trees for nutrient uptake

Reduced nutrient availability in soil and reduced root growth can significantly reduce tree nutrient uptake and tree growth

- At smaller scales we observed soil nutrient and physical characteristics as predisposition drivers.
- Low soil water holding capacity and soil sensitivity to drought are key prevailing factors.
- Other potentially significant soil drivers include higher soil acidity caused in some cases by high levels of ammonium, but lower levels of plant-available nitrogen (impacted by microbial communities), phosphorous and calcium.
- Poor tree feeder root development and altered and impaired tree nutrient uptake are also linked to these soil conditions.
- Inhibition of calcium uptake and changes in carbon and nitrogen cycling in AOD and COD trees compared to healthy trees has also been detected.
- More in depth analyses are required to get clearer indications and identification of other significant interactions.

How does this information help landscapers?

Recommendations

Avoid tree stress!!

Environment, soil type, compaction, season planted Tree health, root ball, age of trees, origin, risks of imported problems

Create optimal conditions for tree growth and maintain it

Organic matter - natural litter (oak leaves), no deep mulching and mulch preparation important – new info Water – on planting and throughout first season as req Compaction - avoid unnecessary foot-fall traffic, stock, vehicles, maintain manually over time

Wounding – protect trees - stock damage + other

Fence trees to trap litter, prevent compaction and wounding

Mind sets – set new fashions, set new norms, set new expectations

Landscapers can lead and feed public perceptions of beauty Challenge to think in tree lifespan, finite space, reshape space dynamic urban forests



Conclusions

- Predisposition factors have been identified and further testing will provide empirical evidence that will lead to management options.
- Feeder root health is important and all the aspects that suppor it. More research is necessary to understand inter-microbial and microbial – host-dynamics.
- Landscapers have a huge role in helping restore • environmental balance in a sustainable, life supporting way. Great opportunity
- Research is here to help. Together we can make a difference
- Say it with design, say it with plants, say it for a better world



https://youtu.be/3vlfJoNL5Xw or https://vimeo.com/405366384 and Woodland Heritage Save our oak film at https://youtu.be/Ajyg9KYBwVA. For more information contact **Sandra.denman@forestresearch.gov.uk**; Elena.Vanguelova@forestresearch.gov.uk; Sally.Simpson@forestresearch.gov.uk

